# END OF FUNDING PERIOD REPORT LAUC Statewide Grants, Research & Professional Development Committee

Primary Applicant(s): Xiaoli Li

Campus: Davis

Email: xlli@ucdavis.edu Telephone: 530-752-6735

#### TITLE OF PROJECT:

Time Period of Grant: 2014/2015 Amount of Award Received: \$750.00

Original Abstract as Submitted:

The University of California, Davis Libraries have received grant funding from the IMLS for the project: Reinventing Cataloging: Models for the Future of Library Operations. (http://www.lib.ucdavis.edu/bibflow/about/). The project, named BIBFLOW, will develop a roadmap for migrating essential library work efforts (workflows) to a BIBFRAME/Linked Open Data (LOD) ecosystem. During year one of the project, we have identified various library cataloging workflows that BIBFLOW will test by creating systems to allow catalogers to perform this work using BIBFLOW/LOD native tools and data stores. This presentation will highlight cataloging workflows we have analyzed and speculate how BIBFLOW/LOD may affect the library's future technical services operations, especially cataloging and metadata creation.

## I. ACCOMPLISHMENTS and EVALUATION

• Describe what was achieved during the time period of the grant.

The grant awarded to me was for presentation. I delivered the presentation at American Library Association Midwinter Conference in Chicago in Jan. – Feb. 2015.

• What aspects were completed as proposed? If your study could not be completed as proposed, explain how your plans were altered.

The grant awarded to me was for presentation. I delivered the presentation at American Library Association Midwinter Conference in Chicago in Jan. – Feb. 2015.

- Did the project accomplish what it intended? Did it make a difference?
  - o Include any relevant quantitative data, if applicable (e.g. How many individuals have benefited from this project? In what way? This may include various output measures such as circulation, reference transactions, program attendance, survey responses, etc. as appropriate.)
  - o Include any anecdotes, if applicable.

Yes. It was accomplished as planned.

• What would you do differently next time, if anything?

No.

• What advice do you have for others applying for LAUC research grants?

No.

# II. IS YOUR PROJECT COMPLETED? Yes\_X\_ No\_\_

If No, what is needed to complete the project? Is more time needed? Or more funds?

#### III. FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Please explain how the funds received were spent. Attach your original budget and indicate how well your estimates matched with actual expenditures. Receipts are not necessary.

## Original budget:

Registration (early bird): \$290

Airfare (R/T Sacramento/Chicago): \$300.20

Lodging expenses (4 nights): \$641.76

Meals (estimated): \$200

Incidentals (shuttles, etc.): \$ 100

Total estimated expense was \$1531.96. The actual expense was \$1570.52.

## IV. SHARING YOUR PRODUCT/RESULTS

What are your plans for disseminating the results of your work? If it will be a web page or product, or published article or book, when will it be available to the public? Include citations/URLs if known.

The presentation slides were posted on ALA website: http://connect.ala.org/node/234502

#### V. NOTE

Information included in this report may be reprinted or posted on the web for dissemination to UCOP, other UC Libraries, and future potential LAUC grant applicants.