

*Revised
shown. H 37*

Date: Tue, 23 Nov 1993 16:03:17 -0800 (PST)
From: fzrocke@bullwinkle.ucdavis.edu
To: Robert Alan <fzalan@hamlet.ucdavis.edu>
Cc: sdbaker@bullwinkle.ucdavis.edu
Subject: Fw: LAUC Position Paper No. 4 (fwd)

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Tue, 23 Nov 93 13:55:32 CST
From: Jacqueline Wilson <wilsonj@library.ucsf.edu>
To: laucoff@scilibx.ucsc.edu, lauchairs@scilibx.ucsc.edu
Subject: Fw: LAUC Position Paper No. 4

From: staff@docs34.lib.berkeley.edu (Staff)
Wed, 17 Nov 93 09:31:28 PST

Subject: LAUC Position Paper No. 4

POSITION PAPER NO. 4

REVIEW PROCEDURES FOR LIBRARIANS OUTSIDE THE NORMAL
CAMPUS PEER REVIEW PROCESS

1. Each appointee shall be reviewed in accordance with the established review procedures of the campus nearest which the regular duties are performed. The supervisor (or, under appropriate circumstances, the candidate, but not in the case of initial appointment) shall initiate the review. The supervisor shall be responsible for compiling the dossier.

In the case of a librarian having a systemwide appointment, at least one member of the committee appointed to review that librarian shall be from a campus other than that where the review is taking place.

2. An appointee working at a location remote from any campus shall be reviewed in accordance with the procedures of the campus to which he or she reports administratively.

3. An appointee working on one campus but reporting administratively to another campus shall be reviewed in accordance with the procedures of the campus where the work is actually done. The supervisor on the administering campus (or, under appropriate circumstances, the candidate, but not in the case of initial appointment) shall initiate the review. The supervisor shall be responsible for compiling the dossier and forwarding it to the reviewing campus.

4. All supervisors of appointees in the librarian series, including supervisors who themselves do not hold appointments in the librarian series, shall keep themselves informed of the appropriate procedures and schedules of librarian reviews.

5. The recommendation of a peer review committee shall be submitted to the administering/funding campus with the understanding that it be subject to the same budgetary restrictions and considerations in effect on that campus with respect to all members of the librarian series.