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Over the past three years librarians at Berkeley have conducted citation analysis of dissertations in seven disciplines—Education, Psychology and Social Welfare, Business, Economics, Political Science and History. These projects generated valuable data about collection usage, collection gaps, and the level of support the Library provides to each of the disciplines. This time we want to extend that research to another very important social science—anthropology.

This project will employ the same methodologies to study the citations of anthropology dissertations from 2008-2014. What makes anthropology such an important discipline for analysis? It is a field that is comprised of quite different subdisciplines: Berkeley’s program includes Archaeology, Biological Anthropology, Masters in Folklore, Medical Anthropology and Sociocultural Anthropology. Further, the new joint UCB/UCSF medical anthropology graduate program may require different collection support.

Some of these areas resemble the sciences in their approach to research, while others work more like the social sciences or the humanities. Thus the resources used are likely to encompass materials funded by a variety of other disciplinary budget lines.

Data analysis will include ranking journals cited by frequency, calculating the percentage of books to journals, to other formats cited, computing the median age of citation by format, and determining the fund source of journals. Language of source will be noted. To determine the subdiscipline of anthropology in which a dissertation belongs, we will check the Subject assigned by the author and sort the citations by the name of the faculty committee chair, looking for patterns of citation that may correlate with subject or faculty member.

I. ACCOMPLISHMENTS and EVALUATION

Describe what was achieved during the time period of the grant.

1. Original data was downloaded from Proquest and formatted according to the research needs
2. Citations were counted and sample size was calculated
3. Job description for student employee was written
4. Job was posted and student was hired in June 2015
5. Student was trained
6. Data entry and citation verification was begun

What aspects were completed as proposed? If your study could not be completed as proposed, explain how your plans were altered.

*All aspects of the project are on schedule*

Did the project accomplish what it intended? Did it make a difference?

*The project is not yet half finished, so there is no data to describe*

What would you do differently next time, if anything?

*Nothing so far.*

What advice do you have for others applying for LAUC research grants?

*Nothing so far.*

**II. IS YOUR PROJECT COMPLETED?** No
If No, what is needed to complete the project? Is more time needed? Or more funds?

*This project is on schedule. I expect to complete the data entry and citation verification portion of the project by mid-August 2015. Data analysis should be completed by December 2015. Article should be written by May 2016, although it’s not possible to predict when publication may occur.*

**III. FINANCIAL STATEMENT**
Please explain how the funds received were spent. Attach your original budget and indicate how well your estimates matched with actual expenditures. Receipts are not necessary.

*Original Budget: Graduate assistant @ $14.01/hour for 400 hours total = $5,600*

*Actual budget: student assistant @ 10.60/hour. Approximately 50 hours of work has been done so far= $500.*

**IV. SHARING YOUR PRODUCT/RESULTS**
What are your plans for disseminating the results of your work? If it will be a web page or product, or published article or book, when will it be available to the public? Include citations/URLs if known.

*I plan to write an article for publication, and will report the results to my colleagues at Berkeley through a presentation.*
V. NOTE
Information included in this report may be reprinted or posted on the web for dissemination to UCOP, other UC Libraries, and future potential LAUC grant applicants.