

## **END OF FUNDING PERIOD REPORT**

### **LAUC Statewide Grants, Research & Professional Development Committee**

Submitted: July 7, 2015

Primary Applicant(s): Ann Frenkel  
Campus: Riverside  
Email: afrenkel@ucr.edu  
Telephone: 951-827-4824

**TITLE OF PROJECT:** Panel: “A Paper Revolution: Underground Printing Industry in Communist Poland, 1976-1989”  
Time Period of Grant: FY2015  
Amount of Award Received: \$740.00  
Original Abstract as Submitted:

I will be chairing a panel: “A Paper Revolution: Underground Printing Industry in Communist Poland, 1976-1989” on Saturday, January 10, 2015 (see: [http://www.aatseel.org/cfp\\_program\\_sat\\_2015](http://www.aatseel.org/cfp_program_sat_2015)). This panel will focus on underground publishing in Poland during the second half of the 1970s and the 1980s, i.e. the period of the emergence and temporary suppression of the “Solidarity” movement, and the subsequent collapse of the communist system in Poland. The panel includes three panelists: Gwido Zlatkes, Siobhan Doucette, and Adam Mielczarek who will be discussing the history and features of underground printing in Poland, arguing the difference from samizdat in the Soviet Union and other eastern bloc countries, and analyzing underground printing within the framework of the theory of new social movements. I have worked closely with the panelist Gwido Zlatkes in translating and editing relevant research materials on underground printing gathered in Poland; this will form the basis for my part of the presentation.

#### **I. ACCOMPLISHMENTS and EVALUATION**

- Describe what was achieved during the time period of the grant.

I chaired the panel as described at the 2015 American Association of Teachers of Slavic and Eastern European Languages (AATSEEL) Annual Conference in Vancouver, BC, January 8-11, 2015. I provided an introduction to the topics of the panel to set the historical and social context for the conference audience, and I managed the Q&A period, asking some of my own questions as well.

- What aspects were completed as proposed? If your study could not be completed as proposed, explain how your plans were altered.

The project was completed exactly as proposed.

- Did the project accomplish what it intended? Did it make a difference?

- Include any relevant quantitative data, if applicable (e.g. How many individuals have benefited from this project? In what way? This may include various output measures such as circulation, reference transactions, program attendance, survey responses, etc. as appropriate.)
- Include any anecdotes, if applicable.

The panel was well-attended (ca. 10 attendees) by this small niche conference (held concurrently with the Modern Language Association (MLA) conference). The panel was followed by a very rich Q&A with the attendees concerning the state of historical analysis of underground printing in Poland and the differences between older (contemporaneous) scholars and younger scholars who did not live through the solidarity period as adults. The panel was also attended by a publisher for Slavica Publishers (at Indiana University) who was very interested in discussing publication opportunities.

- What would you do differently next time, if anything?

N.A.

- What advice do you have for others applying for LAUC research grants?

Follow the guidelines.

**II. IS YOUR PROJECT COMPLETED? Yes X No\_\_**

If No, what is needed to complete the project? Is more time needed? Or more funds?

**III. FINANCIAL STATEMENT**

Please explain how the funds received were spent. Attach your original budget and indicate how well your estimates matched with actual expenditures. Receipts are not necessary.

I received \$193 less than my budget request. I expended the \$740 allocated (minus .32 cents). Below are the comparisons between the budget request and actual reimbursements.

<b><u>Budget Request</u></b>	<b><u>Requested</u></b>	<b><u>Actuals</u></b>
AATSEEL Membership (required)	\$25.00	\$25.00
AATSEEL 2015 Registration	\$130.00	\$130.00
Airfare (LAX-Vancouver-LAX)	\$322.15	\$322.15
Airport transportation LAX:	\$33.50 (\$67.00 ÷ 2 persons)	\$33.93
Parking @ LAX	\$18.00 (\$36.00 ÷ 2 persons)	0
Airport transportation Vancouver	\$40.00 (\$80.00 ÷ 2 persons)	\$31.69
Lodging	\$155 (\$310.00 ÷ 2 persons)	\$92.31
Food	\$210	\$104.60
<b>Total Request</b>	<b>\$933.65</b>	<b>\$739.68</b>

**IV. SHARING YOUR PRODUCT/RESULTS**

What are your plans for disseminating the results of your work? If it will be a web page or product, or published article or book, when will it be available to the public? Include citations/URLs if known.

The three authors on the panel are all contributors to a publication in progress (of which I am one of the editors). The manuscript should be ready to be submitted to the publisher this fall (2015).

**V. NOTE**

Information included in this report may be reprinted or posted on the web for dissemination to UCOP, other UC Libraries, and future potential LAUC grant applicants.