

Librarian Association of the University of California

Executive Board Conference Call

Thursday June 2, 2016 1-3pm

ZOOM: <https://zoom.us/j/3358687463>

Minutes

A. Roll Call (L. Spagnolo)

President:	Diane Mizrachi
Vice-President/President-Elect:	Dana Peterman
Past Chair:	Matt Conner
Secretary:	Lisa Spagnolo
Parliamentarian:	Dean Rowan
SLASIAC representative:	Susan Koskinen
Web Manager:	Julie Lefevre
RLF representative:	Kristine Ferry – not attending
SCLG representative:	Becky Imamoto – not attending – report submitted
DOC representative:	Catherine Nelson

Committee chairs:

Diversity	Lia Friedman – not attending
R&PD/Research & Professional Development	Dana Peterman
CPG/Committee on Professional Governance	Deanna Johnson – not attending

Division chairs:

LAUC-B:	Jennifer Nelson for I-Wei Wang
LAUC-D:	Cory Craig
LAUC-I:	Cynthia Johnson
LAUC-LA:	Lynda Tolly
LAUC-M:	Jerrold Shiroma
LAUC-R:	Carla Arbagey
LAUC-SD:	Heather Smedberg
LAUC-SF:	Sarah McClung
LAUC-SB:	Chrissy Rissmeyer
LAUC-SC:	Frank Gravier

Archives Task Force: Kate Tasker – not attending – report submitted

Web Content Committee: Cody Hennesy

Journals/eVenue Task Force: Dave Schmitt – not attending

Adam Siegel: Position Paper Rewrites – not attending

- B. Announcements (D. Mizrachi)
Diane updated the group on the shooting at UCLA campus. The library was in lockdown for a couple of hours. All campuses are thinking of UCLA during this time.
- C. Approval of minutes May 5, 2016 (L. Spagnolo)
Approved as amended to include reports from task forces.
- D. Review of action items from May 5, minutes (D. Mizrachi)

ACTIONS: Diane

DM to give Julie information on new Position Papers Task Force: charge and roster. **DONE**

DM to confirm with Cody that \$50 gift cards to be provided for incentive for Membership Information updates. **DONE**

DM to take initial feedback to Cody re: having 2nd roster check coincide with roster check for elections; adding fields for form (ORCID, research venues/accounts). The suggestion that the second roster check coincide with campuses' roster checking before the elections was conveyed. Feedback regarding additional fields for members' information was also passed on. **DONE**

ACTION for JULY: This will be included on next month's agenda to conclude discussion.

DM with MATT/DEAN to organize input for June LAUC Exec Board call. This will be discussed today, specifically clarification for divisions on management of rosters in light of having a centralized statewide roster on the LAUC website. There is a conclusion regarding divisions' obligations. **DONE**

DM with MATT/DEAN to organize, submit comments on APM 210 and 360 to UCOP. **DONE** Matt and Dean prepared the comments. Diane added a cover letter and sent to UCOP on Sunday, but has not had a confirmation of receipt. There is a two-page table with comments. There was a question of whether the comments could be shared with members. The only individuals named are Adam and Dan from Davis who compiled the comparison between the APM and MOU, and they should get credit for that work. Otherwise, individuals are not identified in the comments.

ACTION: Division Chairs may share with members the comments to UCOP regarding APM 210 and 360.

ACTIONS: OTHERS

DANA to coordinate with R&PD to develop a statewide webinar for rollout in next 6-8 weeks to engage membership in preparing for the R&PD grant process. A preliminary version provided by Dana will be discussed. **DONE**

CHAIRS to contact their local R&PD/Research Committee equivalent chairs about preparing informational session within next 6-8 weeks. Will be combination of statewide presentation and local discussion. In progress. According to the chairs' prerogative, it should be ensured that members have access to as much information that is available this month or early July so that everyone has the opportunity to think about proposals. Divisions should prepare for the call coming out on September 1. More details will be provided in today's discussion. **IN PROGRESS**

JULIE to update website to reflect votes from Assembly on Position Papers 1-4; and new Position Papers Task Force. **DONE**

CHAIRS to check local by-laws/standing rules for language about any secretary duties of maintaining membership/roster list against proposal by WCC for central roster maintenance. Dean also checked, and this issue will be discussed today. **DONE**

CHAIRS to send APM 360-4 feedback to Diane by **MONDAY, MAY 9**. **DONE**

JERROLD to send summary of evaluations from assembly to Exec Board. **DONE**

DANA to send email to DIANE regarding adding oral histories to LAUC archives. Diane received response and will share with Dana. **DONE**

Continuing: **CHAIRS** to work on the division level to formulate a local committee or other mechanism for preparing for the LAUC 50th anniversary year. **IN PROGRESS**

E. Systemwide Committee Reports:

1. Updates from WCC (Cody Hennessy)
 - a. Discussion: WCC's proposals regarding LAUC members roster
 - b. Implementing WCC recommendations for a LAUC Web Team

Diane shared the proposal from WCC last month. The Exec Board accepted all recommendations with the additional requests regarding divisions' disregarding the division-level roster to keep the statewide roster as the definitive list. Cody indicated that the recommendation was to encourage divisions to maintain a central list. Dean reviewed various divisions' by-laws following the question about how roster maintenance was expressed in the division secretary duties. Most division bylaws imply that the secretary maintains the roster. Only Santa Cruz states this duty explicitly. The conclusion was made that it should remain a division prerogative to maintain a division-level roster in parallel with statewide, acknowledging that discrepancies may occur.

There was some discussion about the various roles at the division level, including a web manager who may maintain the local roster. Diane confirmed that this was at the discretion of the division. There could be a separate campus-level roster, or a division could rely on the statewide roster. Any configuration could be possible as long as bylaws are not violated.

Cody indicated that the exact mechanisms for statewide roster maintenance was being worked out. The WCC was proposing twice a year, and divisions' secretaries or web managers could coordinate more frequent updates as needed. The goal is to make it easier for people to update the rosters. Some campuses indicated a preference for relying on the centralized roster to reduce duplication. It was also confirmed that there would be a way to filter the statewide list by division. Jerrold will be taking over as Web Manager when Julie's term ends in August. There can be coordination during the orientation for that functionality as well as other project items.

Diane indicated that the recommendations for the LAUC web team would be moving forward, with three members: Web Manager; Social Media Coordinator and News Content Coordinator. Harrison

Dekker has volunteered to act as Social Media Coordinator. Cody will be News Content Coordinator, and will be reaching out to divisions for news items. The team will coordinate with the other two task forces for Archives Implementation and eVenue Implementation. Diane will oversee the task forces into next year to make sure they are running well, and to allow Dana to focus on his own agenda.

Cody talked to Julie about making the changes before the current WCC's charge is over in September. This would include updating the People pages and posting news. The Exec Board has already seen proposals for featured content. This is one last check before taking changes to the developer and before the final report. Cody presented wireframes of the page to post news items to the blog. This would be hosted on the LAUC site, and would be incorporated into the proposal to the developers. The People page wireframe includes functionality for a person's being able to update one's own listing, including identifiers like the ORCID.

Diane noted that it had come up that some members may not want their picture distributed on the web. We can encourage people to do so, but it would be voluntary. Cody noted that there is an incentive with the gift cards to send in additional information, but there is no obligation. Cody will come up with a placeholder image that is different than the default for those not wishing to add a photograph.

2. Web Manager (J. Lefevre)

Julie noted the items being worked on by WCC. There are contingencies to think about regarding the timeline. Julie's term ends in August, and Jerrold Shiroma will be taking on the Web Manager role. The changes are also contingent on the developer's availability. Julie sent an email to the developer, the same group who built the site, and alerted them to the request for additional work. It also makes sense with Jerrold coming on for him to be involved in this part of the project for continuity. Jerrold would be the owner of this set of revisions.

Diane also tied the web work with the developments of the eVenue Task Force, in looking at works to encourage librarians to link to their creative work, whether or not the content is in eScholarship. This content may include multimedia files. The LAUC page should be able to serve up a variety of file formats.

3. Research and Professional Development (D. Peterman)

Dana has prepared a draft PowerPoint for sharing to membership. Due to vacation he has a narrow window during which he could give the presentation. The best option may be to share the slides for divisions to use and modify as needed. The slides provide an overview of funding, and what expenses are covered, as well as documentation required. Dana will revise to note working with the local campus financial manager. Criteria for judging submissions was included, including those for mini- and presentation grants.

There was a question about including projects that have been funded, including those off the beaten path. Dana indicated that those examples could be referred to verbally. Depending on the year, R&PD may have more discretion to fund projects more extensively than other years. It was noted that years in which there is a major conference on the west coast tend to be more competitive than other years. Dana can make a basic template and include prompts where more information could be added. Divisions may want to add information about local funding.

It was asked whether there could be a “train the trainer” meeting to facilitate the hand-off to divisions. Dana could enlist someone on R&PD who is familiar with the processes to work with divisions since he will be away soon.

ACTION: Dana to contact R&PD committee representatives, possibly via Keri Botello, for local roll-out, and redistribute the slide deck. The systemwide and division chairs are not necessarily the same, and divisions should coordinate as appropriate. Carla Arbagey should be included as the presumptive incoming LAUC Vice President and thus incoming chair of R&PD.

4. Diversity (L. Friedman) – no report
5. Committee on Professional Governance (D. Johnson) – no report
6. UCOLASC teleconference report (DM)

Diane attended the teleconference meeting on May 20th from 10:00am-12:30pm. Minutes will be posted in about a month. The agenda covered the OA policy and the specific issue of embargoes and waiver of OA rights. Faculty who are trying to discourage publishers from enforcing [check recording] if embargo, how long would it be before an author could post to an OA venue. Another issue was raised regarding increasing compliance among faculty. CoUL gave updates on RLF space planning. A report is moving forward with a proposal to UCOP. Diane noted a new “Research Data Matters” video on YouTube from UC Riverside, where various faculty are interviewed on the importance of planning and managing research data.

ACTION: Diane to send YT link to video. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lr722k7NyGU>

CDL reports: Gunter Waibel introduced himself, and discussed his background, as well as goals at this stage of his tenure. Matt noted that he had interactions with him regarding communications with LAUC, during which Gunter indicated his interest to visit all campuses. This coincides with the LAUC efforts to investigate what communications could occur between LAUC and Gunter at CDL.

Ivy Anderson discussed licensing negotiations with eight publishers, primarily in the sciences. There was an update on the Pay-It-Forward grant project, and exploring the model of authors paying for OA publishing out of a fund set apart or out of their grant funding. UCOLASC members anticipated some resistance among faculty to the model of using grant money to pay for APCs. eScholarship is going through redesign and branding.

This was the final UCOLASC meeting of the year, with the next meeting in Fall of 2016.

F. Advisory Groups

1. SLASIAC (S. Koskinen)

SLASIAC had an all-day meeting in Oakland on May 27, with a full agenda. There is some overlap with the UCOLASC meeting. Gunter Waibel gave an update to SLASIAC and went through his next steps. Elizabeth Cowell, University Librarian at Santa Cruz, has joined the group as a CoUL representative. MacKenzie Smith was also present as incoming CoUL Chair. Ivy Anderson gave a similar update as at the UCOLASC meeting. There was a report on the CDL budget, including looking for UCOP support. Catherine Mitchell gave an update on the OA policy, and discussed the Symplectic

Elements product. CDL is shifting some services from the Berkeley Data Center to Amazon Web Services. The new eScholarship logo was shared.

John Chodacki was introduced as the new UC3 (UC Curation Center) director, and he talked about future projects, and reviewed the DMP tool and DASH. Lorelei discussed the RLF feasibility study and the presentation to Janet Napolitano. It was noted that the new format for presenting was in the shape of “decision memos”. There will be more discussion from that meeting with CoUL. Eric Bakovic gave a UCOLAC updates. Ivy talked about a trip to Berlin in conjunction with the OA 2020 initiative (<http://oa2020.org/>), which discussed next steps for open access. MacKenzie and Ivy presented on the Pay-It-Forward grant project, summarizing that work and discussing support for faculty to convert content from subscription-based to OA. One suggestion from SLASIAC for the Pay-It-Forward project was to discuss with AAU and Executive Vice Chancellor groups. They will work with Susan Carlson to develop more support for this project.

Angus MacDonald, Office of General Counsel at UCOP, gave an update on copyright ownership policy, indicating that a team of attorneys was still working on text. The document will be sent to the UC Policy Advisory Committee, then will be sent for systemwide review and comment. There was a UC Research Cyberinfrastructure Alliance presentation from Tom Andriola and Michael Pazzani. They are also looking for next steps from SLASIAC, recalling the last cyberinfrastructure security conference at Berkeley. They have put together a report and are looking for funding and support from UCOP and elsewhere. More will be coming on this.

An updated roster will be on website, then a strategic plan for meetings next year, which may be in person or by phone.

There was a question about “decision memos” and what that format is, concluding that it is a way to present a report and highlighting the decisions that would need to be made, similar to highlighting action items.

An additional question was posed regarding the funding for the cyberinfrastructure proposals. There was not much enthusiasm about asking campuses or faculty to pay for data security, and the group was looking for broader support at the UC-wide level.

2. Shared Library Facilities Group (Kristine Ferry) -- Nothing to report. Note Tuesday’s webinar on the RLF deduplication project.

ACTION: DM to send reminder message regarding RLF deduplication webinar for all lib staff.

3. Shared Content Leadership Group (DM for Becky Imamoto)

Meeting notes from the last two meetings have been provided by Becky. Six areas of focus include Collections Vision; Collection Development Strategies; Budget Strategies; Assessment; Collection Management; and Scholarly Publishing Transformation. SCLG will let DOC know that SCLG would like more clarity regarding the creation, organization and documentation for CKGs. There is also a question about who ensures that there is representation across subjects. In the area of licensing, the group reviewed the confidential Licensing Updates from CDL. Also reviewed was the UC Libraries Key Issues for Vendors Spring 2016 Update. CDL and SCLG will be working on revisions to the Tier 2

documentation toolkit to make the process simpler. There will be webinars on how to propose a Tier 2 in late summer. Beth Dupuis provided an update on the UC Federal Documents Archive Project, including plans for digitization and identifying areas of duplication.

4. DOC (Catherine Nelson)

DOC met on May 27th. There was a CoUL update and decisions from the past three quarters have been posted. The group reviewed a DOC decision matrix, essentially a common-sense rubric for how to make a decision and to make sure the group has all of the data needed. This will be presented to CoUL. Also discussed was the RLF Systems and Workflows Final Report, and will be looking at the recommendations and making appropriate actions. CoUL discussed the need for a systemwide shared technology group, but it is not yet clear what shape this will take. DOC will be seeking additional clarification.

G. Ongoing Business

1. Archives Implementation Task Force (DM for Kate Tasker)

Per Kate's update, the group last met on May 10 to review outstanding tasks. Each member was assigned a task with a tentative timeline. The question from the Exec Board regarding oral histories was discussed; the goal is to connect with longtime LAUC members to get content for the archives. It would be good to get perspectives on the history of LAUC and library issues for the archives coinciding with the 50th anniversary. Kate sent Diane an email on May 11 with suggestions regarding resources, practices, and principles on oral history and helpful questions from archival perspective.

2. E-Venue Task Force (Dave Schmitt)

Nothing to report. This group is just getting started following the Journals Task Force's work.

3. Position Paper updates (Adam Siegel)

Nothing to report per Diane but the group has started work.

4. APM360-4 wording follow-up.

Diane mentioned earlier in announcements that the comments have been sent to UCOP. The Exec Board was cc'd on submission. There has been no acknowledgement of receipt yet.

5. Budget updates

On May 20th, Diane and Dana joined Patricia Osorio-O'Dea, Gina Durrin, Carolyn Mini, Padona Yeung from UCOP; Alison Imamura from the UCLA Business Office and Patrick Rodela from the UC Irvine Business Office to discuss how the new budget process worked this past year, and how to implement for next year. In the past, UCOP had managed reimbursements; now those are being done on the local level. Once the process was established and some initial hurdles overcome the process went smoothly. Alison developed a tip sheet of what she learned throughout the year; this will be passed on to Irvine. UCOP will make sure funds are transferred to the LAUC President every August/September. The LAUC President is responsible to make sure that LAUC members understand practices for the transition meeting and the assembly. There were several problems in processing reimbursements from the transition meeting, but the assembly was much smoother. The incoming LAUC President should discuss the process with that campus's financial representative. Dana

indicated that this process should go smoothly with Patrick at Irvine, and that they were able to use data from past years in which the LAUC President was from UCI.

Diane also updated that Alison Imamura from the UCLA business office informed her that the available balance in the Assembly fund was \$12,340, and \$9,832 in the President's fund, which is after having paid FivePaths \$5,000, and the Survey Monkey subscription. There are still some outstanding reimbursement requests, although \$900 in requests have come in. With those accounted for there would still be a little over \$11,000 in the Assembly fund. What Diane would like to do is use the remaining Assembly balance to pay for the August transition meeting to be held at Irvine. There might be other creative funding ideas for the LAUC celebration year. One proposal is to keep about \$5,000 for expenses that may come up by December, and the remainder of Dana's President's fund for party planning. Whatever remains from Diane's President's fund would go to the 2017-18 President's fund. Diane indicated that the switch to Zoom from ReadyTalk helped save money during this year. Dana is looking into establishing Zoom at Irvine, with the goal to continue with that saving strategy.

There was a question about the source of the surplus funds. Diane reminded the group that the non-used research grants go back to UCOP; the funds from earlier this year were in that category. These balances would be from Assembly and President's funds, which do roll over from year to year.

6. Elections – Are there any remaining questions regarding the procedures and who is eligible to vote? Election closed June 6. Some divisions reported glitches, but eventually found solutions. Lisa reminded the group that the deadline for getting statewide results in to her is June 15.

- I. Round Robin of Divisions

Berkeley (J. Nelson for I. Wang): There is one recruitment for a Project Librarian for Public Services. There are three retirements: Barbara Bohl, Law Librarian; and Shayee Khanaka, Linguistics and Middle Eastern Collections Librarian.

Irvine (C. Johnson): Dan Tsang, Data Librarian and subject librarian in a variety of areas, is retiring this year. Char Booth is keynoting a LAUC-I event in August, "EXPERI(M)ENT(I)AL: Developing Process-oriented, User-focused Methodologies in the Library." The call has gone out for lightning round presentations at the event, and people are encouraged to forward that message.

Los Angeles (L. Tolly): Strategic Planning implementation is ongoing. The six goals have project team leaders, and project teams are being formed. Ongoing recruitments are the same as last month: AUL for Library Special Collections and International Studies Program; Lead for Emerging Literacies; Nursing Informationist; Data and Technology Services Coordinator. There are three retirements of LAUC-LA members: Libbie Stephenson at the Social Science Data Archive; Ruby Gutierrez at Hispanic American Periodicals Index (HAPI), and Julie Kwan from the Biomedical Library.

Merced (J. Shiroma): Lindsay Davis, the new Instruction Librarian, started yesterday and is in the onboarding process.

Riverside (C. Arbagey): The interview phase has started or is close to starting for the Director of Distinctive Collections; Business Librarian; Geospatial Librarian; Director of Teaching and Learning, and Director of Research. LAUC-R hosts half an hour with candidates, so Carla is busy coordinating those meetings. A program on lightning rounds for presenting LAUC-R activities and research is coming up, and is open to all library staff.

Davis (C. Craig): Gail Yokote, AUL for Collection Services is retiring, effective July 1.

San Diego (H. Smedberg): There is currently one position posted for a Social Sciences Librarian, closing June 12. The interviews are complete for the Digital Archivist position. Three LAUC-SD members are retiring: Kathy Creely and Rob Melton, both having covered multiple subject areas, and Kari Lucas, Head of Access Services.

San Francisco (S. McClung): The Library is recruiting a Data Services and Assessment Librarian, scheduled to review applicants on June 22. A posting for an Education and Reference Librarian should be up soon. The University Librarian search is being extended, and incorporating work with a headhunter firm.

Santa Barbara (C. Rissmeyer): The Library is recruiting three librarian positions: an Assessment Coordinator; a Latin American/Iberian Studies Librarian, with onsite interviews today and tomorrow; a Special Research Collections Cataloger/Metadata Librarian, with interviews being scheduled. A fourth temporary reference librarian position is being reworked with HR. For elections, having successfully used BallotBin for the past three years, LAUC-SB encountered issues this year, and resorted to paper ballots at the last minute.

Santa Cruz (F. Gravier): Nicholas Meriwether is leaving UCSC, and had been incoming LAUC-SC Chair. The local Executive Council is looking into the process for filling this position, likely with a special election. Nothing is known at this time about how the archives position will be addressed.

J. Adjournment

Motion: Carla Arbagey

Seconded by Dana Peterman

Adjourned at 2:42pm.

.....

Appendices: Reports from LAUC Representatives

1. Shared Content Leadership Group, Becky Imamoto May 13, 2016 Meeting

[SCLG \[Shared Content Leadership Group\] Meeting](#) May 13, 2016

- I. Housekeeping
 - a. The April 22nd minutes will be posted on the public site (see link above).
 - b. Discussed some issues with the wiki and how to edit.

- c. [STAR Team Update](#). First meeting has been scheduled to talk about next steps. A call to announce the STAR team and to ask for suggestions will be sent to SCLG soon to review.
- II. SCLG Work Plan.
- a. The work plan that was created at the March Face to Face Meeting continues to be revised. It includes 6 areas of focus:
 - i. Collections Vision
 - ii. Collection Development Strategies
 - iii. Budget Strategies
 - iv. Assessment
 - v. Collection Management
 - vi. Scholarly Publishing Transformation
 - b. Different members of SCLG are being assigned to work on an area of focus. We are also creating a timeline for when these discussions will take place. FedArc which is part of V. Collection Management will be discussed at today's meeting. The May 26th meeting will discuss Cost Share Models (III. Budget Strategies).
- III. [CKGs](#)
- a. We will let DOC know that we would like more clarity about the creation, organization, and documentation of CKGs.
 - b. Wendy is tracking the formation of CKGs. Who is in charge of ensuring that there is some Humanities CKG (since Literature does not want to reconvene but wants to be part of a bigger group)? Is it DOC? Is it SCLG?
- IV. Licensing
- i. Reviewed the confidential Licensing Update from CDL (5/10/16).
 - ii. It included info about Thomson Reuters, Cambridge, Taylor & Francis, American Chemical Society, JSTOR, and Kanopy
 - iii. Reviewed the UC Libraries Key Issues for Vendors Spring 2016 Update.
- V. [CDL Licensing Work Plan 2015-2017](#)
- a. Reviewed one more time. Any more corrections must be submitted by May 17th.
- VI. [Tier 2s](#)
- a. The documentation, toolkit, and process will be made simpler but librarians need to follow procedures.
 - b. Webinars will be offered starting late summer on how to propose a Tier 2.
- VII. [UC Collection Vision](#)
- a. Questions to ponder:
 - i. Facilitated collections vs owned collections
 - ii. Understanding our stewardship role (HathiTrust, Shared Print)
 - iii. How do we own/share/access collections together in a coherent way?
 - iv. Should we let the 2009 vision document stand, update it, or start over?
 - v. Who is the audience of the vision statement?
- VIII. Fed Doc Arc Update (Guest Beth Dupuis from Berkeley)
- a. The UC Federal Documents Archive Project began about 18 months ago.
 - b. Phase I: Starts with the RLFs – their collections are used to identify unique titles to be disclosed through shared holdings in catalogs, identify availability of valid digital copy, and identify duplicate copies for use in sheet-fed digitization.
 - i. Numbers: Monographic US federal documents held uniquely at just one RLF: 42K at NRLF, 140K at SRLF. Work is underway to add the shared print disclosures to these items.

- ii. GILS members have also been reviewing list of titles of monographs and serials which are held at both RLFs.
 1. Refining lists, identifying duplication, determining which copy to retain, deciding if a 2nd copy should be sent to Google for sheet-fed scanning if it isn't at HathiTrust. A pilot of 5K items has been sent to Google.
 2. Weeding duplicate print copies must follow the FDLP Needs & Offers procedures. So far they have made 6 rounds of offers.
- iii. Phase 1 is on track and should be completed by December 2016.
- c. Phase 2: Analysis of local collections, for contribution to the RLF archive, campus retention, or withdrawal. Riverside is the beta-test group.

2. Shared Content Leadership Group, Becky Imamoto

May 27, 2016 Meeting

[SCLG \[Shared Content Leadership Group\] Meeting](#)

May 27, 2016

1. Housekeeping
 - a. May 13th minutes reviewed and approved.
 - b. Announcement: Gail Yokote is retiring as of July 2016.
2. Workplan Review + Future Topics
 - a. Vision Statement: SCLG subgroup will aim to complete a draft for the July 22 meeting.
 - b. Shared Print: SCLG will need to consider CoUL's priorities for shared print which is forthcoming. This discussion will therefore happen in July or August.
 - c. Model License Revision: Mihoko Hosoi (Asst Director for Systemwide Licensing) is working on this and should have it completed by the end of October.
 - d. Content Preservation: We will invite Paul Fogel to give an update on the Google digitization project in late summer.
 - e. Assessment: discussion of systemwide developments that could impact data collection and collection analysis tools such as Green Glass will be on the June 10th agenda.
 - f. Scholarly Communication: Subgroup working on next steps. A June meeting will include an update on the California legislation AB2880 (copyright authority). Reveal Digital will also be scheduled for June.
3. Licensing Update
 - a. Reviewed the confidential Licensing Update from CDL (5/27/16).
 - b. It included info on Cambridge, Elsevier, Nature, T&F, ACS, and JSTOR.
 - c. CDL Acquisitions will provide SCLG a final list of all transfer / add in titles for all packages from this year.
4. Cost Model: Talking Points
 - a. How have cost share models been used in the past? What should they look like in the future? What assumptions and elements used in the past are still valid? Should cost shares apply to services like WEST?
 - b. SCLG affirmed the tier-2 model as a basic assumption: there are resources that not every campus will want to participate in.
 - c. SCLG needs to think beyond traditional licensed resources.
 - d. A subgroup will draft an update of the 2003 document. We will then draft a charge to JSC that will include:
 - i. Benefit of centrally administered costs
 - ii. Sustainability of cost share models
 - iii. Elements to be included in a cost share model
 - iv. Role of CDL Contributions

3. Archives Implementation Task Force, Kate Tasker

To: LAUC President

From: LAUC Archives Task Force

Re: Progress Report, 2016-05-31

The LAUC Archives Implementation Task Force was convened on April 20, 2016, with former LAUC Archives Task Force members Julie Goldsmith (UCB), Kathryn Neal (LAUC Archivist, UCB), Angela Boyd (UCSB), and Kate Tasker (Chair, UCB) all continuing their service.

The Task Force last met on May 10, 2016 to review the new charge for LAUC Archives Implementation. We have outlined the necessary tasks, assigned Task Force members to each task, and drafted a tentative timeline. We also discussed a question posed by the LAUC Executive Board regarding oral histories, and sent recommendations to President Mizrachi on May 11, 2016. The following agenda topics were covered:

- I. Welcome back
- II. Review new Charge for second phase of work
- III. Review additional tasks
- IV. Assign tasks and set timeline for completion

The Task Force will meet again on June 29, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,
Kate Tasker
Chair, LAUC Archives Implementation Task Force