Librarian Association of the University of California
Executive Board Conference Call
Thursday, December 3, 2015 1-3pm

ZOOM: https://zoom.us/j/6225450480

Minutes

1:03 called to order.

Diane had a note about Zoom access for some attendees who are settling technical issues.

A. Roll Call (L. Spagnolo)

President:	Diane Mizrachi
Vice-President/President-Elect:	Dana Peterman
Past Chair:	Matt Conner
Secretary:	Lisa Spagnolo
Parliamentarian:	Dean Rowan
SLASIAC representative:	Susan Koskinen – not attending – report below
Web Manager:	Julie Lefevre
RLF representative:	Kristine Ferry – not attending – report below

Committee chairs:

Diversity	Lia Friedman
R&PD/Research & Professional Development	Dana Peterman
CPG/Committee on Professional Governance	Deanna Johnson

Division chairs:

LAUC-B:	I-Wei Wang
LAUC-D:	Cory Craig
LAUC-I:	Cynthia Johnson – not able to attend
LAUC-LA:	Miki Goral for Lynda Tolly
LAUC-M:	Jerrold Shiroma
LAUC-R:	Carla Arbagey
LAUC-SD:	Heather Smedberg
LAUC-SF:	Sarah McClung
LAUC-SB:	Chrissy Rissmeyer
LAUC-SC:	Frank Gravier

B. Announcements (D. Mizrachi)

1. The SAGs have all been officially disbanded. Representatives Angela Riggio & Sue Perry have been released from LAUC Executive Board duties.
2. Diane is in the process of creating a LAUC newsletter for distribution next week. Thanks to Carla Arbagey for sending the prototype from Matt. As soon as some issues are resolved from this meeting today, Diane will write that up and send to the board for review. **ACTION**
3. Reminder: there is a webinar on Tuesday, December 8 on open access, email sent by Jayne Dickson. **ACTION:** Diane to resend that email to list.

C. Approval of minutes November 5, 2015 (L. Spagnolo)
Minutes approved as amended.

D. Review of action items from November 5 minutes (D. Mizrachi)
1. Diane to work with Julie Lefevre our Wikipedia article. Phoebe suggested attaching it as a blurb to existing article about the UC Libraries (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University_of_California_Libraries#Librarians_Associatio n_of_the_University_of_California). There is a paragraph on this page for the Librarians Association of the University of California, and an external link to the page. Please send any suggestions for revisions to Diane. There was a question about not linking to the LAUC page in the text. The concern was with putting a direct link in. Julie is glad to try it, but wants to avoid having it flagged for plagiarism ("plaged") again. **DONE**
2. All board members: explore Dan Russell's CV and select recent presentations by October 27th (see his site here: https://sites.google.com/site/dmrussell/) to brainstorm what topics the membership would be interested in hearing about to help shape his talk. - Diane will poll the Exec Board the week of November 9-13 for topic ideas that the membership would be most interested in. **UPDATE:** poll went out with reminders. Further discussion below.
3. REMINDER: Cory, Lynda, & Frank – Please send Julie a picture for the LAUC website.
4. R&PD will prepare a presentation to serve as a resource for funding applications. Deadline of May 2016. UPDATE/DISCUSSION: Dean confirmed that Diane does not need to create an additional charge for this project for R&PD as it is an operational project of the committee. Dana will update on progress during the year. **IN PROGRESS**
5. Julie to coordinate with Dana regarding questions about R&PD end-of-year reports. **UPDATE: PENDING**
6. Julie to confirm that PowerPoint can be included in the Featured Content section. UPDATE: Julie confirmed that this would be difficult and raise usability issues. The section is not currently configured to allow for that file type, and would have limitations if that configuration was revised. This section was originally conceived as something fairly simple, e.g., to have a blurb and an external link or a link to a page on the LAUC site. Linking to another website or page is optimal, and links to files, even PDFs can present usability challenges. Going forward the Web Content Committee can get feedback and look at features that could be included in future development. **DONE**
7. Deanna to send out the charge to the CPG members to launch for the year. **DONE**
8. Diane to send out polls regarding using Zoom for the next call. **DONE**

E. Systemwide Committee Reports

1. Research and Professional Development (D. Peterman)

Dana updated the group that the committee received five applications for research grants; seven applications for presentation grants and 1 mini-grant request. The committee is interested in funding three out of five of the research grants, all of the presentation grants and mini grant. Once those are funded, remaining funds would be $9800 for represented librarians and $7,000 for non-represented librarians. The committee discussed doing a video. Joe Cera at Berkeley volunteered to help with that. The committee is also changing its scoring form for reviewing applications from a Likert scale to fund/do not fund/to be discussed. The committee is interested in changing some of the critical dates for the call, proposing announcing awards and doing the second call on December 16th; providing a local divisional deadline of January 29th. Then the systemwide group will have all presentation and grant applications uploaded on February 5th. By March 2nd there would be an announcement of what was awarded in the second call. This moves a few things up, and seems to work out better for funding.

One question for the Executive Board is regarding a presentation grant, where acceptance for the presentation will not be known until the second week of December. For the award, the notification would indicate that it is provisionally awarded pending acceptance of the presentation, otherwise it would go back into the pool. Diane asked when the conference would be. Dana indicates it may be in March. It could affect the person's travel plans, although the person may not attend the conference if the presentation was not accepted. Spring should give enough time to not adversely affect the person's plans. Another question was why two research applications would not be funded. Dana indicated that one application was not research; the other one involved mostly data entry, which is excluded in the criteria. The application also was unclear regarding who was doing what, and included non-UC participants as well. Diane stated that last year an award was given to someone completing a book who was asked by the publisher (a university press) to hire one's own indexer and proofreader. The committee decided that award money did apply to that to help with the dissemination of research, although it was not research per se. This would be up to the R&PD committee's discretion and availability of funding. Dana indicated that that these applications had other issues as well.

2. Web Master (J. Lefevre)

Julie met with Cody Hennesy and the rest of the Web Content Committee for their initial meeting, a conference call held at Berkeley. It was useful to share the experience and background of the redesign project, and give ideas of what the site is like and how the build went. Julie is working with Lia on the next round of Meet Our Members.

Diane had an additional question regarding expanding functionality to allow for PowerPoint, etc. Would that cost us? Julie indicated it may depend; if it was something Julie could do it would just be her time. It's possible to keep FivePaths on retainer and pay them by the hour if it's beyond Julie's bandwidth or ability. A proposal could be presented to FivePaths and get an estimate. Julie recommends developing a description of that desired functionality with the Web Content Committee.

3. Diversity (L. Friedman)

Via report: The Diversity Committee met on November 17th and discussed what we were all working on locally. The committee discussed ways of collaborating with the new Web Content Committee to create a more robust presence for Diversity on the LAUC site. Lia also met with Julie, our webmaster, to discuss the form used for submissions for the Meet Our Members section of the site; people are now able to submit straight from the LAUC site. The next round of Meet Our Members to be highlighted is on the way, pending some information from one of the highlighted members. Hopefully it will be up in the next week. The upcoming National Diversity in Libraries Conference (http://www.arl.org/news/arl-news/3484-save-the-date-national-diversity-in-libraries-conference-to-convene-in-august-2016-in-los-angeles#.VmDLzSdGqRA) is coming up at UCLA and the CFP has been extended through the middle of December. People are encouraged to submit.

4. Committee on Professional Governance (D. Johnson)

Deanna sent the charge to CPG to review, which included looking at by-laws and standing orders for any revisions needed in areas other than membership information. The current by-laws with revisions from last year are still being reviewed by UCOP. Making additional changes is premature before those revisions are complete. Deanna confirmed with Diane that there was an additional charge regarding the position papers.

ACTION: Diane to charge CPG with reviewing the position papers input.

5. Nominating Committee (M. Conner)

The committee is thankful for the names submitted by division chairs. With that and the ongoing list, the next step is to contact recommendations to have "non-binding" phone conversations about running for the position. That step would develop into further discussion about the positions with those interested, and finally a list of potential candidates. The list will be reviewed to ensure an even spread among campuses. Nominees will then have their candidacies confirmed, and then the slate will be finalized. On December 4th, the committee will send out individual email messages to those recommended. Hopefully responses should come in before winter break. The committee should have plenty of time to develop a slate. There was a question about contacting the recommended names. Matt confirmed that no one from ineligible campuses will be contacted.

F. Advisory Groups

1. SLASIAC (S. Koskinen)

Sue Koskinen provided a report on SLASIAC meeting and it is inserted below.

TO:Diane Mizrachi, LAUC President

FROM: Susan Koskinen, Head, Life & Health Sciences Division, LAUC Representative to Systemwide Library & Scholarly Information Advisory Committee (SLASIAC).

RE: SLASIAC Report, December 2, 2015

I attended the in-person SLASIAC meeting on November 5, 2015 at UCOP offices in Oakland.

The full agenda with links to documents is on the SLASIAC website:
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/content/slasiac-meeting-november-5-2015

Meeting notes will be posted on the SLASIAC website.
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/slasiac/meetings

1.   Scott Waugh continues as Chair and reviewed announcements.

2.   Ivy Anderson, Interim CDL Director gave a report with highlights from the CDL Annual Report which included budget, ongoing negotiations, ebook coverage and usage, and CDL projects such as DASH, the DMPTool, and SNAC.

3.   Scott Waugh. The SLASIAC budget subcommittee will be revitalized.

4.   Lorelei Tanji. Gave a CoUL update on their annual plan and priorities, reorganization of SAG, other services and RLF planning. CoUL will meet with J. Napolitano in February.

5.   Ivy Anderson. Pay-It-Forward grant project update, this project (which is on a Mellon Grant) will study the impact of gold v. green open access, could this work for the UCs. It will produce a report in June 2016. More to come.

6.   Angus MacDonald. Gave an update on the ETDs – electronic Theses and Dissertations. He reviewed the draft policy, they hope to keep it general and allow each campus to set its own enforcement or policies and procedures. More to come, early stage with draft.

Background: ETDs, parity of access to licensed content, UC Open Access Fund Pilot. *Background*: Release and Embargo of Electronic Theses and Dissertations (ETD) (Sept. 23, 2014)

7.   Catherine Mitchell. Gave an update on the Open Access policy implementation. She reviewed statistics, deposit requirements and harvesting articles v. opt outs. They are trying to simplify the workflow for faculty.

8.   Catherine Mitchell. Gave an update on the UC Presidential Open Access policy. They will need to scale up from 15,000 faculty to 200,000 potential staff. Librarians will confirm their own status. See OSC, Office of Scholarly communications website.

9.   Ivy Anderson, Wendy Streitz (Exec Director of the UC Office of Research and Policy Analysis).

Gave an update on University compliance with Federal Public Access policies. They reviewed a letter sent from the AAU (Association of American University's) and the Association of Public and Land Grant University's to Senior Research Officers regarding the "university compliance with federal public access regulations". When someone leaves the university where does the data go? Who owns the data? Exit interviews could help.

See letter in agenda attachments.
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/groups/files/slasiac/docs/aau_aplu_letter.pdf

10.   Wendy Streitz, Michael Pazzani (UCR Vice-chancellor for Research and Economic Development).

Working on a clear process for who owns the data and research when someone leaves the university. Looking at Federal Policies to deposit papers and data. CFR Reg. 4. Looking at Cybersecurity and other UC policies; working on service to back up files, making it easier to comply with requirements.

Background: UC San Diego guidelines on access and management of research data. Office of Research. http://blink.ucsd.edu/research/policies-compliance-ethics/guidelines.html

> **ACTION:** ALL should brainstorm if there are any issues that LAUC would like to raise through CoUL in preparation for their meeting with Janet Napolitano in their February meeting.

2. Shared Library Facilities Group (K. Ferry) – Kristine does not have a report at this time.

3. DOC & Shared Content Leadership Group (D. Mizrachi)
Diane met with Todd Grappone on November 12th regarding the UCLAS/UC Libraries Advisory Structure and DOC/Direction and Oversight Committee structure and communications with LAUC. As previously stated, DOC will act as the project management body for CoUL and will formulate and oversee the task forces charged with executing distinct projects. DOC will also consider projects planned anywhere in the system. This would allow anyone to propose a reasonable project and have it considered vs. projects being initiated from CoUL and downward. The definition and scope of a reasonable project would need to be developed, but this suggests that grassroots projects, e.g., those developed by CKGs/Common Knowledge Groups could be considered. The CKGs will continue to operate separately. At the time Todd was submitting a proposal to CoUL to use Confluence, a document sharing program and wiki software, to be open to all library staff within the UCs. A few campuses already use Confluence, and it was also used for the Next Generation Technical Services efforts; like any system, it has its benefits and challenges. The intent is to promote a more transparent system. CLS/The Content Licensing Subgroup that had been under SAG 3 will be reconstituted as the Shared Content Leadership Group. Diane and Todd agreed that LAUC should be represented on that group. LAUC was asked to submit names for consideration, and those have been reviewed. The LAUC rep should be announced soon.

G. Ongoing Business
1. Spring Assembly updates (J. Shiroma)
The space has been finalized: a large room with good projection capabilities. A few of us from the library will go down to the room after the holidays to run some videoconferencing tests to make sure that everything needed will run smoothly. This will be tested over wired and wireless connection, including how broadcasting works over a cellular connection. Details regarding parking and catering are being worked out. Local arrangements will be finalized after the holiday break. Jerrold will be developing web content to get a skeleton site, with the intention of getting an indication of who would be coming beyond the delegates to plan for parking, catering and other accommodations. Julie confirmed that there is already a skeleton event page on the LAUC site. The idea of having event pages on the new site will replace the past practice of the assembly pages located on division sites. They would be consolidated on the main site.

ACTION: Jerrold and Julie to work together on the development for the Spring Assembly pages.

Diane will think about how best to work out an estimate of attendees. The delegates would be a minimum and an estimate based on past attendance. Jerrold has started to contact hotels about a special rate, but wanted to have a better sense of who might be staying overnight given travel logistics.

ACTION: Diane to work with Jerrold on a strategy for estimating attendees beyond the number of delegates.

   a. Results from on D. Russell topic poll

13 people responded, with mostly even results for "The Revolution in Asking and Answering Questions and "Knowing in the Age of the Internet". There was less interest in talks on Google Books or The Library of the Future. Other topics noted in the comments include scholarly communication; data management; new avenues of data research; how and if Google can work with libraries to better connect users to information including embedding library links into Google or Google Scholar, etc.

The group discussed how to develop these ideas. There was consensus about avoiding "future." Broadening how Google can work with libraries works in the discussion section more so than the presentation. It was noted that these are topics he has already presented on and may dovetail with membership's interest. "The Revolution" topic may be interesting in terms of how using questions for search instead of keywords may intersect with the reference interview, but there might be concerns of how to broaden the scope to touch on topics other than reference. "Knowing" may be able to draw in information literacy and how librarians apply context to knowledge, scholarly communication, and other topics more easily. Another concern was that "Knowing" may be too vague whereas "Revolution" might allow for more specificity. Part of Dan's presentations have involved searching for content on Google to look at images, and identifying what has been Photoshopped; there are intersections with librarians' emphasis on authenticity in information literacy. It is possible that "The Revolution" could be developed to have broader application than just reference. It was recommended to contact Dan to have a discussion about what might be suitable for our group, noting that we would be more of an "insider" audience.

ACTION: Diane will connect with Dan via Jerrold Shiroma/Emily Lin at Merced to provide feedback about our conversation and how to best shape the presentation.

2. CDL Search (M. Conner)

The CDL search is in its final stages. Matt reports that LAUC was consulted extensively through the entire process.

3. Updates from WCC (C. Hennessy, joining call)

Cody reported on the Web Content Committee, having met once so far in mid-November. This meeting included Julie Lefevre, and Cody had met with Julie separately as well. A lot of

focus is currently on Featured Content, in the bottom-right of the page. Currently one can upload a PDF or link to an outside site. We want to recommend that we build more substantial presence there, and will be building a wireframe for what that could look like. Christina Woo is working on a more substantial story on the LAUC members who are ALA councilors-at-large. There is also a bullet further down on how to solicit news from the divisions. The committee will consider to discuss how best to present this content.

The committee has been talking about social media, Twitter and LinkedIn in particular. LAUC would have an association presence on LinkedIn. Twitter often is a site of academic conversations. Facebook was seen as being less of a draw for LAUC activity and updates. Updates on these social media venues would be a good way to draw people in to site content and promote activities. The LAUC Twitter account could follow LAUC members who are on Twitter. LinkedIn would have a similar way to see members' activities. Harrison Dekker is looking more into these venues for LAUC.

Another area of work is content from past assemblies, following the recommendation that that content be migrated. The old LAUC blog is on the Blogspot/Blogger platform and will not be going anywhere. It will be retired but with a link on the current site. There will be a note that it is no longer updated. The new content will be in the "featured" section. The question arose about who has access to that blog account, and Dana confirmed that he had access. The LAUC wiki is not being considered as a project for now. Roster pages are being reviewed, with initial recommendations including a way for members to add their own information, including links for their LinkedIn profiles, CVs, etc. Overall the committee is working on workflow issues and plans on monthly conference calls. The LAUC Exec Board will be updated regularly.

Questions for the Exec Board:
   a. Regarding Roster/People pages: Do we want to maintain former LAUC members? Retirees, departures? Also do we want to keep historical rosters of committee membership on site?

The consensus was to keep the focus on current members, with the census as a trigger to annually check the roster. This could be a role for the division-level Secretaries. It was noted that some division-level sites are being redone, including local rosters. One recommendation was having clear indication that the statewide roster included members as of the date of the census, but division-level rosters could be updated as needed throughout the year. That would also help to clarify the discrepancies in the division numbers and the statewide numbers. Some board members also identified information that they may want to revise in their listing, for example their titles, the name of their libraries, etc. The links for divisions go to division websites, whereas the links to the reports and the census documents go to the statewide website.

Perhaps more information on the statewide site can be on the division sites, for example searching by name. Cody confirmed that dynamic updating would entail development work.

Having historical rosters of committee membership is helpful, especially for going back to see who may have served on a committee in previous year, who wrote a particular committee document, etc. Cody indicated this was easy to accomplish, but WCC wanted to consult with the LAUC Exec Board. Dana indicated that retention was initially set at three years, and then archived. The record became unwieldy overall, and was based on print retention schedules as well as review cycles.

    b.   Regarding building out new functionality. WCC wanted to add to the Featured Content and make that a blog. WCC is unsure who to contact once a wireframe is developed for that. It would be good to develop that before adding too much new content. This ties into the discussion earlier in the meeting about enabling features that enable PowerPoint or streaming visuals. Cody had questions about the process for that work. Diane indicated that Julie could potentially participate in some of that work, with anything beyond capacity perhaps consulting with FivePaths for work as needed.

ACTION: Diane to follow up with recommendations offlist with Cody and Julie, including reviewing a list of features for WCC to develop.

4.   Updates from LAUC Journal Task Force (Mizrachi for L. Smart)
Diane reported on behalf of Laura. The Task Force is meeting frequently and reviewing business data. They are developing a list of questions to survey LAUC membership on their publishing practices.

5.   LAUC Archives Task Force (Mizrachi for K. Tasker)
Diane reported on behalf of Kate. Their first meeting was on November 18th, during which the charge was reviewed, deadlines, overview of the current state, review of tasks and committee member roles. Task Force members are to review the current finding aid to the LAUC archives in OAC and LAUC web archives, captured by the LAUC archivist. Other tasks include identifying any LAUC documents that are within the scope of LAUC archives but not currently held, and locate other documentation. Diane created a Box space for them so they are using that and email. Next meeting is December 16.

6.   Results of Zoom use poll (Mizrachi)

As experienced by the Board Members, this instance of Zoom presented technical challenges, including having a limited meeting length that required relaunching the meeting a few times. Diane will investigate her steps for launching using the UCLA account. Dean located this Zoom support page addressing timed-out meetings:
https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/201362523-Why-is-my-meeting-timing-out-.

ACTION: Diane to figure out technical issues with Zoom before next meeting.

H. New Business
   1.   LAUC Position Papers
      a.   2014-15 Executive Board voted to retire #s1-4

b. DM will charge CPG to gather and amalgamate input from membership regarding the relevance of Position Papers 1-4 and presenting recommendations for their status before or by the Spring Assembly where delegates will vote on final status.
c. Status of PP 5 dependent on APM360-4 developments
d. Topics for new papers
     i. Who reviews librarians
    ii. Librarian research

The position papers are on the new site (https://lauc.ucop.edu/position-papers). Review of position papers should take place every five years; until last year it had been a while since they were reviewed. Last year, the Executive Board voted to retire papers 1-4. Position Paper 5 regarding the definition of an academic librarian in the UC system, was contingent on the development of the revisions to APM360-4, so LAUC was waiting on the outcome of that revisions process from UCOP. Procedurally, LAUC is not allowed to change the status of position papers without discussion and voting, including input from membership at the Spring Assembly with delegates, with voting after that.

Diane and Miki were discussing a couple of new topics: who reviews librarians and librarian research. Miki's suggestions entailed folding into pre-existing papers where appropriate. In Position Paper No. 1 on Criteria for Appointment, Promotion and Advancement in the Librarian Series (https://lauc.ucop.edu/position-paper-no-1), a discussion of how research is defined and recorded could be incorporated. Paper No. 3 (https://lauc.ucop.edu/position-paper-no-3), could be revised to address current issues in the review of librarians.

In light of this discussion, rather than retiring these papers, they could be discussed and folded into revised editions of these position papers. Matt had sent in talking points about those papers. For now, we can wait and discuss in January. There was general favor in the idea of revising the position papers to reflect our new environment. We can be open to new papers as well.

As noted on the webpage, "the decision to prepare a position paper is the culmination of broad discussions and consultation among the membership concerning an issue." Diane indicated that LAUC was not required to have position papers. It was noted that retirement and replacement are somewhat involved processes as well. That has to be factored into the discussion. After taking a position, the longer view should be taken into consideration. There should be a deliberative process for the subsequent actions for a position paper as the process of creating the position papers are deliberative. It was noted that with the more recent change in the assembly to incorporating speakers and discussion, there is less time than in the past for discussing position papers and similar LAUC business. There should be adequate prior discussion with membership before voting. To include as many members as possible, perhaps the issue can be discussed via a Zoom session. Dean confirmed that electronic voting is also permissible.

As noted in the CPG agenda item above, Diane will prepare a charge to CPG to start gathering feedback, and discussion will continue at the Exec Board level as well.

I. Round Robin of Divisions

Berkeley (I. Wang): There is not much to report. LAUC-B has been thinking about how strategies to maintain communication with librarian-like positions who are not in the librarian series. This includes positions at Berkeley, such as the scholarly communication officer position which will not be a librarian position, as well as CDL positions, noting that Laine Farley had been in the librarian series at one point, but also given that Berkeley is the closest geographically to CDL and may be in the best position to foster those connections. These discussions are related but separate from the affiliate membership issues which have yet to be finalized in the LAUC-B by-laws.

Davis (C. Craig): Davis is in the process of concluding several recruitments including 7 librarian positions out of a total of an estimated 33 positions overall; more information will be forthcoming once those announcements have been made. In her view the library is still significantly understaffed. Earlier this month, Cory prepared two graphs for an agenda item at the fall general membership meeting; these include the number of librarians and the number of graduate and undergraduate student enrollment for all ten campuses and percent change in UC librarians from 2013-15. This data was shared ahead of time via email. The UL had a different interpretation as to the source of the numbers. The LAUC-D Executive Board will continue to discuss this issue at their December monthly meeting. It was suggested that additional context about the census data definitions on the LAUC pages would be helpful for others interpreting this data.

Irvine: not present

Los Angeles (M. Goral): The fall membership meeting was held November 23rd. There are not a lot of action items from that. There are currently seven positions open for recruitment. Several should finish soon as interviews have taken place, but the exact timeline is unknown.

Merced (J. Shiroma): There were three campus visits by candidates for the instruction librarian position, which they hope to have filled soon.

Riverside (C. Arbagey): A new medical librarian has been hired, Angela Lee. This brings the medical librarians up to two, which is good for the new medical school. The interviews for the Director of Distinctive Collections (reconfigured Special Collections Head) is going forward. The review process is proceeding with some different practices this year.

San Diego (H. Smedberg): San Diego just announced a successful candidate for the Special Collections Metadata Librarian position. The quarterly LAUC-SD meeting was held with UL Brian Schottlaender, where the OA policy was discussed. In discussions with other ULs, he was thinking that the policy will be challenging to implement because of the sheer number of faculty and the heterogeneity of this population. There are not necessarily unifying groups to cohere the policy. LAUC may be an exception to that. If either at a division or statewide level LAUC and librarians stood up to be a model of how we go about implementing the OA policy in our area it could be very useful for other groups who may not have that cohesive body. These ideas could coincide with the discussions of the LAUC Journal Task Force.

San Francisco (S. McClung): The search for the new University Librarian is proceeding. On-campus interviews are being planned for January.

Santa Barbara: (C. Rissmeyer): The new library is opening soon, with an announced day of grand opening January 13th. There will be a ribbon-cutting ceremony, remarks, and an open house with dance and music performances. The library opens January 4th for services. The humanities data curator search is still ongoing. There may be campus visits early next year. The fall membership meeting is being held next week.

Santa Cruz: (F. Gravier): No report at this time.

J. Adjournment
Miki Goral moved to adjourn.
Matt Conner seconded.

Meeting adjourned at 3:08pm.