Librarian Association of the University of California
Executive Board Conference Call
Thursday, January 15, 2015 1-3pm
ReadyTalk [Please use the toll-free number 866-740-1260 and the access code 9181033#]

MINUTES

The meeting was called to order at 1:03pm. The ReadyTalk recording was successful, because we are not using speaker-phones unmuted, which causes echoing. Matt Conner can mute us globally. To speak, each attendee presses *7 to unmute him/herself; *6 to mute him/herself.

A.   Roll Call (Christina Woo)

President:	Matt Conner
Vice-President/President-Elect:	Diane Mizrachi
Past President:	Nick Robinson
Secretary:	Christina Woo
Parliamentarian:	Dean Rowan
SLASIAC representative:	[Susan Koskinen is away; Matt Conner will report on her behalf]
SAG 1 representative:	Diane Gurman
SAG 2 representative:	Susan Perry
SAG 3 representative:	Angela Riggio
Web Manager:	Julie Lefevre
Assembly Planning:	Penny Coppernoll-Blach

LAUC-B:	I-Wei Wang (LAUC-B Vice-Chair for Rita Evans, Chair)
LAUC-D:	Bruce Abbott
LAUC-I:	Mitchell Brown (CPG chair for Keith Powell and Lisa Mackinder, co-Chairs)
LAUC-LA:	Rikke Ogawa
LAUC-M:	Letha Goger (LAUC-M Vice-Chair for Elizabeth McMunn-Tetangco, Chair)
LAUC-R:	Rhonda Neugebauer
LAUC-SD:	Penny Coppernoll-Blach
LAUC-SF:	Sarah McClung (LAUC-SF Vice-Chair for Evans Whitaker, Chair)
LAUC-SB:	Kristen LaBonte
LAUC-SC:	Deborah Murphy

Committee chairs:
Diversity	Carla Arbagey
CPG/Comm. on Professional Governance	Mitchell Brown
R&PD/Research &Professional Development	Diane Mizrachi

B. Announcements (Matt Conner) - none

C. Meeting Goals:

1. Discuss Assembly topics and come to some kind of resolution
2. Discuss UCLAS/UC Libraries Advisory Structure Webinar Series

D. Approval of minutes – December 4, 2014 (Christina Woo) – approved (no corrections, additions, edits)

E. Review of action items from November minutes (Matt Conner)
1. Suggested topics for the Assembly submitted to bspace wiki - **DONE** (we collected 14 topics)
2. Suggested topics for Webinar series submitted to the President (Matt Conner) by December 10 – sent a brief response, including some ideas for a better name than "SAG," to CDL's Rosalie Lack; we would follow up with more suggestions. **DONE**
3. Consult with Susan Koskinen about SLASIAC/Systemwide Library & Scholarly Information Advisory Committee for our newsletter – **NOT DONE**, and not necessary for the newsletter. Drop for now.
4. Get Newsletter out to the general membership in December - **DONE**
5. 1998 report by CPG/Committee on Professional Governance on the librarian series sent to LAUC division chairs as background on the membership question and position paper 5 – **DONE**
6. OA/Open Access policy presented as agenda item for division exec board meetings – **DONE**. Due today, so our last chance for input is today. Matt Conner noted the really big issue at the OA core is two things: to get all authors to deposit, but also give them the right to opt out by asking for an embargo or a waiver. It doesn't spell out the requirements for opting out, however. The only example given is if the publisher insists the author opt out, and this happens in very few cases. If that's the only/primary requirement to opt out, it's not really a choice. We need more details to know if opting out is a real option, or if the publishers' requirements give the author no real option. Does the author really have a right to choose?

ACTION: Matt will send out a for-distribution (to general membership) email with our letter to UCOP, to make sure membership sees the results of their input (closing the communication circle). We hope this will galvanize more participation from members.

7. Photos of Exec Bd members sent to Julie Lefevre by end of December for LAUC new website - still need some: **NOT DONE**
8. Nick Robinson and Matt Conner said they would follow up with Diane Mizrachi on the first round of research grant awards; they have some questions – **DONE** (more from Diane in these minutes)

Diane Mizrachi asked if anyone had gotten feedback about the inaugural issue of our newsletter. Rikke Ogawa (LA) said that at UCLA there were comments that how we had addressed the bibliographers group was "not generous" to everyone involved. Debbie Murphy (SC) very much enjoyed referring to it when speaking with LAUC-SC members— it's "wonderful." When Matt Conner followed up on UCLA's reaction to the report we sent to SAG 3, Rikke said that she's not sure why the newsletter itself caught the eye of some AULs. Apparently they don't want the newsletter to be seen as "us versus them" (i.e., management), but Rikke is hard put to see that in her rereading of the newsletter. Matt concluded that the newsletter is something we are testing out. LAUC wants to put issues before the membership and to get responses, which we in turn can respond to. The Newsletter is not intended to provoke--or to please—everyone. Matt invited Rikke

to let UCLA folks know they can also communicate specific issues to us directly. We want to be current, and we have room to improve, and the newsletter will evolve.

NB: Immediately after the LAUC Exec Bd meeting, Matt Conner emailed the following to us:

> Out of curiosity, I looked at our newsletter's treatment of the SAG 3 report that appears to have caused some upset. The passage is below.
>
> "In September, LAUC responded, along with all the campuses and existing collection groups, to a call for input from UCLAS Strategic Action Group 3: Collection Building & Management (SAG3) on a proposal to consolidate bibliography groups into topic areas. LAUC resisted the idea, citing the efficiency of the old system and potential problems with the new system, and the proposal is being reconsidered. Read the recent UCLAS blog for the latest on the Bibliographer groups."
>
> Without a doubt, the source of controversy is the second sentence beginning, "LAUC resisted the idea..." I think this example demonstrates very clearly the issues that we raised in our meeting. LAUC really did resist the idea as I understood the feedback. There wasn't a division of opinion. There was a decisive and ardent rejection, and representing it any other way would not have fulfilled our responsibility of communicating with our own membership. I also don't see how administration was involved in any way since they were not mentioned. So while we don't want to provoke anybody, I believe that our first priority is to report objectively and clearly. Maybe the thing to do now is for Rikke [Ogawa, LAUC-LA Chair] and Angela [Riggio, SAG 3 rep and member of LAUC-LA], if you wish, to approach the people who communicated their concerns and send them to me. Maybe even this outreach will assuage their concerns. But in any case, I don't see anything for us to act on without more information about what is objectionable.

F. Systewide Committee Reports

1. RPD/Research and Professional Development (Diane Mizrachi)

All 19 applications for research, mini-, and presentation grants have been reviewed; 17 were awarded funds. Earlier this week, Diane Mizrachi informed UCOP staff and notified all of the recipients. This is the first year of the new system, which Nick Robinson developed on behalf of Keri Botello, his LAUC Exec Bd predecessor. Diane thanks all of the committee members for their work and input, and to Matt and Nick for their assistance throughout this process.   The second call for presentation grants will be sent out the day after MLK Jr. holiday (Jan. 20). RPD is now working on an evaluation

form for the presentation grant proposals. In reviewing the 13 proposals, they discovered they needed clearer guidelines on how to evaluate and rank them. RPD will submit the evaluation form and revised guidelines to the Exec Bd next month (Feb.) for its input. When approved, they will be used for future calls (not this year). A couple of the applications that were not funded had weaknesses/omissions that could have been caught at the local division level, so Diane asked that the division representatives be more diligent before sending their proposals forward.

2. Nominating Committee (Nick Robinson)

The committee is continuing its work. They have contacted 14 members and received 3 acceptances: 2 for secretary and 1 for vice-president/president-elect. They will keep looking for a VP candidate.

3. Website Revision Ad Hoc (Julie Lefevre)

The committee is continuing its work, and is still in the design & development phase, but that is coming to a close. There are only a couple issues that need to be resolved for the website programming. Following up on comments from our last LAUC Exec Bd meeting: for the Meet Our Members page, there was the concern about the number of years of librarian experience. Specifically, when a member is profiled in 2016 and says s/he has been a librarian for 10 years, that number will not increase every year, thereby becoming inaccurate. The easiest solution is to add a date for the entry for each Meet Our Members profile. Also, on the Exec Bd page, regarding the terms of service on multiple committees, that has become a thorny issue—trickier than anyone thought. The programmers are working on that and think they've nailed it. They expect to have a solution in a week or two, then move on to content migration to the new site in the next few weeks.

ACTION: send to Julie photos in the highest-resolution possible by Wed., Jan. 21: jlefevre@library.berkeley.edu

Julie still needs division rosters from three campuses, so she will be following up on that after this Exec Bd meeting. When Matt Conner asked about a timeline for the roll out of the new website, Julie said that as soon as they've figured out how to display the terms of service on multiple committees, in the next few weeks they'll look at a beta site and start getting trained on how to move content to it. By early March they hope to have something that is launch-ready.

4. Diversity (Carla Arbagey)

Continuing on website revisions, working with the Website Ad Hoc.

5. Assembly Planning (Penny Coppernoll-Blach)

The planning committee (of seven really experienced people) has been meeting, is on track, and awaits topics from the LAUC Exec Board (which will be discussed at today's Exec Bd meeting).

6. CPG/Committee on Professional Governance (Mitchell Brown)

CPG is continuing its work after the winter break to compile job titles of new or reconfigured positions in libraries and affiliated units. CPG is also beginning to draft language to address non-traditional hiring into positions and areas for potential revision in the LAUC membership bylaws. By Feb. 6, CPG will provide a draft of recommendations to circulate to the LAUC general membership. I-Wei Wang (B) and Rikke Ogawa (LA) noted that their campus reps to CPG (Lynn Jones/B and Tony Aponte/LA had not yet been contacted. Matt said he would follow up with Mitchell to make sure the rosters were complete.

Late last night, Matt made this detailed timeline official (see "Timeline for CPG Recommendations and Vote" at http://lauc.ucop.edu/committees/pg/index.html):

Feb. 6:	Draft of the CPG recommendations will be distributed to the membership
Mar. 6:	Comments due from membership. One month is all that we can spare for each division to discuss the details for reporting for the following Exec Bd meeting. CPG will then have two weeks to compile the feedback.
Mar. 20:	CPG submits the feedback in a final draft for discussion.
Mar. 20-Apr. 16:	We will hold 2 virtual assemblies for the delegates to discuss this before the April 17 Spring Assembly. The goal is to get a final voting version in shape for the membership.
Apr. 17:	Final draft is discussed at the Assembly at UCSD. CPG then compile all the details.
April 30:	Final voting version is posted along with the rest of the election ballot (we want to give ample time for reflection and discussion of the final version before the votes are cast, so this is about two weeks for the CPG to come up with the voting version.)

ACTION: Matt will send us a link with these details on the LAUC website [the essence of it is above, with more details provided by Matt during today's meeting]

Matt Conner reminded us that the original plan was to fold the voting on the membership issue into the election cycle, simply adding it to the local ballots. This turns out not to be such a good idea. The critical issue is the reporting of votes; there is no automated way at present for divisional votes to be reported to the statewide level. Division chairs have been reporting individually. The votes are critical--we need a 2/3

threshold for any kind of action. So, with all of this in mind, we decided we need a separate, automated voting site (e.g., Survey Monkey) to capture voting on our many issues (consolidate all statewide issues, including those the Assembly voted on last August). We also want to make sure all of the eligible voters know the issues. To do this, a separate election committee is needed for all the state issues. How does that sound?

Rikke Ogawa (LA) asked for clarification. Matt responded that the divisional elections would go on as usual for divisional officers and issues. The new, LAUC statewide elections site would address the bylaws and membership issues. Nick Robinson suggested that the ballot for LAUC statewide officers also be part of this ballot, so all statewide LAUC issues are together. This makes sense. Who would like to be on this elections committee? Recommendations from the Exec Bd?   We need people with Survey Monkey-type experience; ideally, people who have managed a divisional election. Other ideas, including how many people should be on this committee?

What about having the Nominations Committee take this on? Nick Robinson, current chair of the Nominations Committee, pointed out that there is no Elections Committee per se on the statewide level. Typically, the Nominations Committee provides the statewide slate to the LAUC Exec Bd secretary, who in turn makes sure the divisional secretaries receive it for their ballots. The election results are then communicated back up from the divisions. This year, the bylaws and membership issues make the statewide issues much more cumbersome in terms of communicating the issues and getting election results. Having a separate, statewide ballot—which might as well include the slate of officers--would remove our dependence on the divisions and make sure all of the information gets to the general membership at the same time.

Mitchell Brown volunteered to help out with the Election Committee, since he has had some experience with Survey Monkey for feedback from the assembly. We will need to be extra careful with the wording, so everyone understands the issues.
ACTION: Matt will pursue the formation of this committee soon.

G. Advisory Groups

1. SLASIAC/Systemwide Library & Scholarly Information Advisory Committee (Susan Koskinen)
   http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/slasiac/

She is away. On her behalf, Matt Conner reported that reported that nothing is going on, except that they are drafting their response to the presidential OA/Open Access policy, so we are ahead of the game there.

2. SAG 1/ Scholarly Research & Communication (Diane Gurman)
   http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sag1

SAG 1's last conference call was December 19, 2014. The discussion of the SAG 1 work plan is ongoing and will continue at the next phone-call meeting tomorrow. A document, "Final Report: Criteria for Investment in Transformative Scholarly Communication Issues," written by a SAG 1 subgroup led by Anneliese Taylor (UCSF), was reviewed and approved. The report will go to CLS/Collection Licensing Subgroup and then, when approved, to the CC/Coordinating Council for distribution. For more information see the conference call minutes at http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/groups/files/AgendaforDecember192014Meeting.pdf

3. SAG 2/ Access, Discovery & Infrastructure (Susan Perry)
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sag2

SAG 2 minutes from its meeting last week are available on its website: http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sag2/meetings SAG 2 is aware that half of its members—indeed, all the SAGs--are rotating off this summer, with 2- and 3-year terms to start, then followed by 3-yr staggered terms for all. In addition, they're attempting to get th SAG 2 wiki pages more organized and up to date. The documents are in a mix of email messages, attachments to meeting notes, and in different places on the wiki, so they're using the SAG 3 one as a model for a better-organized structure. As a result, new members will get up to speed more quickly, because they'll be able to easily get background information on our projects and the discussions about them.

SAG 2 is also waiting for feedback from the CC/Coordinating Committee on its work plan for the year, as the other 2 SAGs are. In anticipation of that, they're starting discussions on how to move forward with the priorities we've set for ourselves and those given to SAG 2 by CoUL (as part of the Plans & Priorities document). As soon as the CC approves them, they can be made public.

4. SAG 3/Collection Building & Management (Angela Riggio)
http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sag3

SAG 3 also met last Wednesday. Its work plan was submitted and will be sent to CoUL. Bimonthly report was also turned in last Friday, so they'll go public soon. The digitization subgroup has been working on a plan for a vendor-based digitization project. It will be a statewide effort with contributors from several campuses. At tomorrow's CoUL/Council of University Librarians meeting, the digitization chair, Heather Christenson (CDL), will speak for 10 min. on the plan, which her group has been working on for over a year. The vendor-based project proposal will be the first phase. Also discussing ways to explore a grant- and/or a self-funded (UC) project. The topic is food and drink, so campuses will be canvassed for additional appropriate collections and participants.

Shared print monographs are being discussed by Emily Stambaugh's group. They need to follow up on the work of the (now-disbanded) Collection Development Committee. The agreements need to be reviewed in order to move forward on their work. The outcome of the Bib Groups report will be new operational guidelines for them to use. They are being revised, based on input from the CLS/Collection Licensing Subgroup. Those should be sent out by the end of January. When SAG 3 meets next week, one of the agenda items will be the Federal Documents archive report and follow-up.

Matt Conner asked about the positive recommendation in response to the shared ILS/integrated library system plan (see http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/sag3/shared-ilsrms-tf-documents). Angela said that it had been deemed a feasible project. Angela assumes CoUL will discuss this at their upcoming meeting (tomorrow) to see which campuses are in (or out) and how to proceed.

For all our SAG representatives: For our conference call with the CC/Coordinating Committee, I think we informally agreed we'd submit reports to their blog on a regular basis. Where are we with that? Diane Mizrachi asked how these are supposed to differ from the reports we report to Matt. Matt has no specific requirements but was more interested in the schedule: Monthly? One SAG rep assumed the CC would ask for reports. She noted that Marlo Young (SD) had recently stepped down from the CC, and the blog was something she championed. Since we didn't have details on how/when to submit, Matt will follow up on this. **ACTION: Matt will contact Rosalie Lack to see if there are deadlines or any specific procedures for submitting SAG reports to the CC.**

H. Old/Ongoing Business

1. Assembly Topics (see LAUC Exec Bd bspace, then to Wiki. Choose Assembly Topics)

We don't have to make the final selections today, but let's see where we have some consensus. Nick Robinson recalls that last year the LAUC Exec Bd plus divisional vice-chairs decided on the topics. We can go through each of the 14 issues, but are there any that leap to the forefront?

#13 has support: *Presentations by LAUC members who were awarded LAUC grants* could encourage others to submit proposals

#10 – *UC librarians as academic appointees/status of the librarian series*—is timely, given our discussions this year.

#9 has support: *Follow up on campuses going through redesign* was a big focus last year and is probably still relevant.

Matt Conner's impression is that members are interested in the "higher level" stuff but also want to hear about the "real world things" their peers are doing. That would be a nice balance for us to look for.

Now, going through the list from the top:

#1—*How reorganization has changed the ratio of administrators to staff in the library*-- could be folded into #9—*Follow-up on campuses who are going through redesign*. Support for this, but Matt noted that we need to be extra careful about not making this come across as adversarial (e.g., management/administration versus the line librarians).

#2 – *Ways that UC can extend collection building and services* – Not very clear, and isn't this the focus of SAG 3/Collection Building & Management? Angela Riggio, our SAG 3 rep: yes.

#3 – *Metrics for library value and researcher value (altmetrics, citation rankings, teacher evaluation, tenure & promotion measures)* – Is anyone already doing this, or is it about implementing metrics? Metrics are a big field by itself. Worth pursuing, but any particular urgency? Maybe better for a webinar?

#4 – *Specific library programs at UC such as research data curation or digital humanities*—To Matt Conner, this sounds a lot like #9—*Follow-up on campuses that are going through redesign*—perhaps consolidate them? Mitchell Brown (I) noted there are CKG/Common Knowledge Groups focusing on these, so there is interest across several campuses. These are ongoing projects; we could point members to their websites. Penny Coppernoll-Blach pointed out that UCSD has a new unit focused on research data curation. Diane Mizrachi added that UCLA has a DH/digital humanities librarian. This emerging topic has possibilities, but not at the top of our list (yet).

#5 – *UCLAS/UC Library Advisory Structure* [what is it? What has it accomplished?]– Diane Mizrachi suggested this might be better for a webinar or an online presentation for members to refer to on our new website. In other discussions we've talked about focusing more on what's going on as opposed to structure. Matt Conner agreed this is a huge topic that might be better examined via a webinar. He learned from his UL that CoUL is about to have a retreat on the advisory structure. There was concern that it was so time consuming, and they may be rethinking the structure. Mitchell Brown (I) asked if this topic is related to #12-*UC-wide cooperative projects*. Hearing no real enthusiasm for this as an assembly topic, let's move on.

#6 – *How libraries communicate their value to campuses* – Diane Mizrachi noted that this is related to #3 - *Metrics for library value and researcher value.* Matt added that this topic is similar to one covered at last year's assembly, but Diane countered that

publicizing our services is different from communicating our value, which goes deeper. This is a valuable topic, but there was no other support for it for this year's assembly.

#7 – *Collaborations with CSU* -- What kinds of collaborations? When Matt Conner touched base with the UCD library administration, one of the AULs observed, "This a "huge, thorny subject with all kinds of possibilities." Pending ideas for some manageable way in which we could approach this, let's set it aside for now.

#8 – *Models for staff sharing* - Are any campuses sharing library staff, as in a Chinese-language cataloger at one campus cataloging materials for other campuses, so they don't have to hire their own? Rikke Ogawa (LA) said the POT/Power of Three 7 LT/Lightning Team 2 group addressed some of this while writing its report, but did not have successful examples (see http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/content/ngts-pot7-lt2-report-responding-changing-collection-development-management-landscape-uc   and http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/groups/files/ngts/docs/pots/POT7_LT2_Report_Sept_17_2013.pdf). It would be great to know if anyone in the UCs is doing this.

Bruce Abbott (D) pointed out that UL MacKenzie Smith (UCSD) is interested in this topic--it is significant to her. In particular, if a shared librarian is not attached to one campus, what are the reporting lines? Whom do the staff work for? He added that we are being pushed toward more inter-campus collaboration in this way. Matt added that at LAUC-D Dean Smith said this is a huge priority for CoUL/Council of University Librarians, and they're running into a lot of barriers in terms of the MoU and unions that prevent the kind of staff sharing they wanted and hoped for. Matt followed up on this with Lorelei Tanji (UCI UL and CoUL chair) in late December, who in turn contacted Dean Smith, who said there was some kind of misunderstanding; it was a LAUC-D thing, and CoUL does not want to pursue this. Mitchell Brown (I) raised a few more issues, such as how would the shared librarian be paid. CPG/Committee on Professional Governance could take this up as a smaller discussion item folded into a larger one. Lacking CoUL's willingness to address this, it is best to set this aside for now.

#9 – *Follow-up on campuses that are going through redesign* - of interest to D, B, LA, R, SD, and maybe I.   Keep this topic on our list.

#10 – *UC librarians as academic appointees/status of the librarian series* – Carla Arbagey said this is very important to LAUC-R. ULs Lorelei Tanji (I) and Ginny Steel (LA) are enthusiastic about this. Keep this topic on our list as very promising.

#11 – *Assessment of public services* – Carla Arbagey (R) asked if this includes reference, such as hours of service, such as reference desks being closed/consolidated? Matt said this could be broader or focus on reference. Mitchell Brown (I) sees public services as

an example of the value of the libraries to the campuses (Topic #6), so maybe tie this to another topic to get traction. Penny Coppernoll-Blach noted that at UCSD all reference points were closed, except for one. They are using LibAnalytics (http://springshare.com/libanalytics/) to collect statistics and usage data. At UCD, Matt said there are widely differing opinions on keeping or closing reference points. Rikke Ogawa (LA) said this is a hot button issue at UCLA. Significant interest at several campuses. Keep on list.

#12 – *UC-wide cooperative projects* – Diane Mizrachi agreed with Matt Conner in wondering how this is different from all the system-wide UCLAS/UC Library Advisory Structure projects that are another possible topic. There are cooperative projects all over the place, and some topics will need to be combined. Would this include the different levels of activity and decision-making among bibliographer groups? This is a rich topic, but maybe not as relevant as some of the others. Maybe consider this for the webinar series.

#13 – *Presentations by LAUC members as winners of LAUC grants* – Including this at the assembly closes the communication loop. Mitchell Brown (I) said it would be great if we could capture this for eScholarship.

#14 – *Reimagining the Library for the 22nd [not a typo] century* – Penny Coppernoll-Blach (SD) tossed this topic in but is hard pressed to imagine who—individual or panel—could address it. Diane Mizrachi suggested this might be appropriate for a 10-min. fun interlude to enliven the Assembly. Tap some of the more comedic talents among us to create a skit or imagined panel—let them go crazy? Where to insert 10 min. in a full day—at lunch? Carla Arbagey (R) talked about an exercise at her campus where attendees let their imaginations run wild on what they thought the library would look like in 50 years. Maybe create a shout-out wall for people to post their forecasts for the 22nd century? Matt mentioned "Googlezon," a short video chronicling the "history" of online information/media (see this 2015 update: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OQDBhg60UNI for nearly 9 min. of amusement? Horror?)

We are pretty close to two formal topics in the afternoon with maybe a short, entertaining thing. We have 3-4 here. Do we need to vet this with a larger audience, such as the division vice-chairs?

ACTION: Matt and officers will create a Survey Monkey tool to vote on the topics fairly soon. Aim to get the survey out and voted on by Jan. 28th.

Penny Coppernoll-Blach reminded us that at last year's assembly the ULs were well received, so if we could include administrators, that would be a good draw. Matt Conner

shared that despite last year's technical mishaps, Lorelei Tanji and CoUL are up for more for this year's assembly. We want to take advantage of that. In particular, for the assembly planners, Penny wanted to know if audio and video would be needed, or only audio. Would non-attendees still be interested in simply listening to the assembly?

Nick Robinson asked if we have asked Brian Schottlaender, the UCSD UL, to put into his calendar at least welcoming remarks to the assembly.

ACTION: It is customary to invite the host UL of the assembly. Penny will follow up. Right after the meeting: DONE. Brian Schottlaender has been booked 10-10:30am for April 17th. Otherwise, he has a long CoUL conference call that day, which may make it difficult for other ULs to participate in our Assembly that day, depending on which ULs are part of that call.

2. Webinar Series -- "UCLAS/UC Library Advisory Structure Topics" on our bspace wiki

Matt Conner started out by saying no one knows anything about UCLAS, so that's our first hurdle before we even get into this. He has his doubts about the usefulness of a "UCLAS 101" webinar and admits that repeating the names of the new groups (UCLAS, CC, CoUL, the SAGs, etc.) will have to be accompanied by some work. To begin to educate ourselves and the membership about this big, amorphous thing, he suggests we create a packet of information to orient everyone. It would include the organization charts, the names and basic descriptions of each group, and have the division chairs make it available shortly before the first webinar, but not devote a whole webinar to UCLAS. Rhonda Neugebauer (R) observed that such a packet would be especially useful for new hires.

Mitchell Brown (I) said he's been working on topic 5.1, *OA/Open Access harvesting tool UC OA policy*. We could provide a webinar and maybe a ppt. There's also a scholarly publishing CKG/Common Knowledge Group that has been sharing orientation materials. As the three pilot campuses (I, LA, SF) roll out the harvesting tool, it draws more faculty attention to OA. (potential **ACTION item**)

Now addressing the list of topics one by one:

1.1 UC Fed Documents Archive Project

Diane Mizrachi has a personal interest in this, and at UCD the disenfranchised government documents librarians are interested in this—those who haven't already left. How many campuses still have govt docs librarians? Bruce Abbott (D) said that even those of us who aren't government document librarians would benefit from what the UC libraries are doing about this. Nick Robinson noted that de-duplication is a concern across a wider area. How can a core shared collection be established?

This might be a test case for creating and implementing other shared collections. Looking back at the potential assembly topics we just discussed, Diane Mizrachi pointed out that this could be an example of a UC-wide cooperative project (#12). This suggests framing it as how a core shared collection (print and digital) can be a successful project – compare with 4.2 Shared Print.

2.1 UCLDC/UC Libraries Digital Collection

Sue Perry (SAG 2 rep) said this project reports to SAG 2. It will be a big website containing images from five campuses with a DAMS/digital asset management as the back end. Digitized images will also be added from the other five campuses will be added to the index at the search level. It will be launched this summer. Sue agrees this could wait until the website is public. There are canned ppt slides ready to go, but probably not enough for a full hour. This could be part of another topic.

4.1 Shared Services

This could include SCP/Shared Cataloging Project, ILL, the courier system, UC-eLinks, the RLFs, and other? Do any have issues, significant, controversy—anything other than flat reporting?

4.2 Shared Print

As mentioned before, this dovetails a bit with 1.1 (UC Federal Documents Archive Project). Angela Riggio (LA) said this project reports up through SAG 3—very dense with an ambitious work plan. Emily Stambaugh runs it and has done a lot of presentations on this and has a lot of content. Matt Conner observed that its content density makes it an attractive topic for simplification and a preview by us.

ACTION: Matt will follow up and get back to Rosalie Lack by the end of the month. We had asked them to skip a January webinar, since we had not yet come up with our list. We will continue discussing the topics online and maybe come up our priorities via Survey Monkey.

Since we are running out of time, we'll continue with the rest of the agenda.

I. New Business - none

J. Round Robin of Divisions

LAUC-B: I-Wei Wang (for Rita Evans)

Open positions: Electronic resources librarian, Asian American Studies librarian, Native American Studies librarian, Assoc. Director for the Oral History Center, and Head of Acquisitions.

At a recent LAUC-B Executive Committee meeting, Erik Mitchell, AUL and Director of Digital Initiatives & Collaborative Services, discussed NRLF/Northern Regional Library Facility issues, which raised lots of concerns. That discussion was forwarded to the statewide group.

LAUC-D:　　　　Bruce Abbott

In late December it was announced that Amy Kautzman, AUL for Academic Services, has resigned to become the university librarian at Sacramento State University. As you've heard from me for several months now, we are in the process of hiring for the directorates (AUL-equivalent positions). The postings have yet to be made.

LAUC-I:　　　　Mitchell Brown (for Keith Powell and Lisa Mackinder)

Have filled positions: Laura Smart has been appointed the new E-Research and Digital Scholarship Librarian and will begin on March 2. She is leaving a position at Cal Tech to supervise UCI Libraries' new E-Research and Digital Scholarship unit within the Collection Development Department. Kelly Spring has been appointed Archivist for Special Collections in the Special Collections & Archives dept. She leaves Johns Hopkins to begin at UCI on February 17.

Current searches include Research Librarian for the Health Sciences, Digital resources and Serials Cataloging/Metadata Librarian

LAUC-I co-chair Lisa Mackinder's last day is today. LAUC-I held a special forum of its members (as well as an online survey) to provide input to the UCI Libraries' response to a campus-wide survey from the Campus Academic Planning Group as part of the initial states of crafting a new campus-wide strategic plan. The campus plan will of course inform the development of a new Libraries' strategic plan as we move forward.

LAUC-LA:　　　　Rikke Ogawa

Several openings: Director for Library Special Collections, Digital Archivist, Geospatial Resources Librarian, Head of Print Acquisitions & Shared Print services. At the Law Library: a Reference position and an Acquisitions and Electronic Resources position, just to name a few.

Kelly Miller, Head of Teaching and Learning Services and College Library, left UCLA in November to go to the University of Miami. UL Ginny Steel will start working on strategic planning. A consulting firm will begin working with them in March. Instead of continuing to recruit and fill positions in the same way as they were vacated, the UL wants to engage the entire library—including LAUC—in developing a strategic plan. LAUC-LA very much appreciates this.

LAUC-M:　　　　Letha Goger (for Elizabeth McMunn-Tetangco)

Has left the meeting.

LAUC-R:　　　　Rhonda Neugebauer

Melissa Conway, Head of Special Collections resigned this month. Other openings: Outreach & Public Services Librarian (in Special Collections), Science Fiction Librarian, Business & Econ Librarian.

LAUC-SD: Penny Coppernoll-Blach

Have 7 positions for which they are interviewing, but will not list them all here. UCSD has hired a Latin American Studies & Iberian Languages Librarian, who will start in June (from Florida State University). A Data Services Librarian will begin in a couple of months. At the end of February, Music Librarian Ken Calkins is retiring.

LAUC-SF: Sarah McClung (for Evans Whitaker)

No open positions or departures/retirements

LAUC-SB: Kristen LaBonte

Hired a new Music Librarian, Kyra Folk-Farber, who began on January 5. She is the Evolving Workforce librarian, a temporary appointment. The search for a head of Special Research Collections has been going on for quite a while, assisted by an outside recruiting firm. A status report is overdue.

LAUC-SC: Deborah Murphy

New AUL for Planning and Resource Management, John Bono. He does not have a library background but has a BA in political science from SDSU and a JD from Quinnipiac University.

J. Adjournment - Rikke Ogawa moved to adjourn. Diane Mizrachi seconded. All agreed to adjourn.

Meeting ended at 2:56pm.

Next conference call date/time: Thursday, February 5, at 1-3pm