

Minutes: LAUC Executive Board Conference Call

Thursday, March 1, 2012 2:00-4:00 PM

LAUC President Mitchell Brown called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m.

Present: President Mitchell Brown, Past President Michael Yonezawa, Vice-President Keri Botello, Secretary Lillian Castillo-Speed; Divisional Chairs 2011-12: LAUC-B Chair Nick Robinson, LAUC-D Chair Deanna Johnson, LAUC-I Vice-Chair Yvonne Wilson, LAUC-LA Chair Louise Ratliff, LAUC-M Chair Sara Davidson, LAUC-R Chair Manuel Urrizola, LAUC-SC Chair Annette Marines, Parliamentarian Dean Rowan, SOPAG Representative Bob Heyer-Gray.

A. Check-in (roll call) (L. Castillo-Speed)

B. Announcements (M. Brown)

The ULs wrote to Congressman Issa in opposition to HR3669; the bill was withdrawn. Here is the letter. <http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/about/uls/docs/>

This was an example of the ULs and LAUC working together for scholarly communication and against restrictive licensing.

C. Approval of minutes - February 2, 2012/Review of Action Items (L. Castillo-Speed)

Action: M. Brown will send links and look into including information [on upcoming online forums and webinars on copyright and open access issues] on the LAUC website.

Resolution: *M. Brown will send these links to the list.*

Action: M. Brown will set up an ad hoc committee with the charge to implement the [LAUC] award. Resolution: *M. Brown has contacted some LAUC members to serve. He will send more information when the committee is formed.*

Action: M. Brown will collect suggestions from the Board and send a template to P. Ayers [for the LAUC memorial website]; and ask her to explore option of using links to other sites, other tools. The Board will see it when there is something to show.

Resolution: *M. Brown contacted P. Ayers; she is putting together some ideas and in a couple of weeks will send results.*

Action: M. Brown will send L. Castillo-Speed a preliminary list of [Assembly] delegates. Resolution: *Not sent yet.*

Action: M. Brown will send A. Marines the number of potential delegates. This will give us a potential number of hotel rooms needed, excluding local participants. Resolution: *M. Brown has an estimate for number of people who will need rooms.*

Action: M. Brown will contact Gloria Alfaro for the LAUC recharge number and explore the possibility of UCOP sending the hotel a list of pre-approved guests who would not have to use their own funds to check in. Resolution: *M. Brown will work with A. Marines on final list of hotels. The recharge number is secured.*

Action: M. Brown will send the "Save the Date" message (May 10) and announce the travel awards. Resolution: *M. Brown will send this out tomorrow.*

Action: M. Brown will use both the Assembly listserv and the Board listserv to send information on speakers and topics. Resolution: *Ready to go.*

Action: An additional action item was identified in relation to electronic balloting and the use of BallotBin. M. Brown would like to send a link to the divisions and have them test it with a simple YES/NO question and answer. Resolution: *M. Brown conducted a local*

test at UCI. He will send another list to the board to see how the ballot is arranged. The test will be open for a few days.

Minutes were approved by acclamation.

D. Joint UCOLASC -University Librarians meeting, Feb. 17, 2012--update (M. Brown)

He was involved with discussion on these topics: scholarly communication; UC's Open Access mandate for faculty publications; an agreement that the campuses can discuss; should each campus approve its own version; should it be added to the APM. Decision: add to the APM. UCB, UCLA and UCSB will share their draft proposals with other COLASCs. UCOLASC wants something sent to faculty by May so that the Open Access mandate can be implemented in the fall. ULs discussed role of CDL in OA publishing and how libraries would help faculty understand OA and who on campus can help. ULs may ask librarians for help with faculty questions on the role of OA publishing and its effect on campus collections. L. Ratliff reported that UCLA COLASC is drafting a broad policy statement that can be tweaked by each campus.

•UC Online Instruction Pilot--update (M. Brown): We are pressing the ULs for engagement in this issue. They are aware of LAUC's concerns relating to resources.

E. Assembly--May 10, 2012, UCSC Extension Silicon Valley, Santa Clara, CA

1. Update (A. Marines)--budget estimate, website, announcements, registration

Hotels are more expensive than what we had hoped for; invoicing not available in manner that we had hoped for. Website is in "mock-up" stage; not ready for sharing. Registration form: in process. Delegate list still not ready. **Action:** With suggestions from Gloria Alfaro of UCOP, M. Brown will contact the hotels to perhaps get a better rate with different variables of rooms and days and he will handle the contractual arrangements. **Action:** M. Brown will send out announcement when the hotel is confirmed. **Action:** M. Brown will send a "Save the Date" announcement as soon as possible.

2. General Meeting/Assembly: statewide & local divisional interests (M. Brown)

Discussion on proximity of site to San Jose Airport and whether beginning of meeting time should be coordinated with availability of flights. Confirmation that only the Executive Board would stay overnight at the hotel. Meeting start time will be 10 a.m. Ginny Steele is confirmed as opening speaker. Joanne Miller will give update from UCOP. Possible main speaker will discuss copyright issues related to Open Access. NGTS is also on the agenda for several reasons: 1) this will be one year after the SLASIAC report; 2) the most recent status reports will come out on May 1; 3) four to six systemwide pilot projects will have been identified by May. Another possible topic is SLASIAC's involvement with NGTS planning. The Diversity Committee may also be ready with a report for the agenda. Afternoon breakout sessions: follow up on CPG discussions with facilitators of breakout groups. Remote participants may be able to join in through a blog not only to get their feedback but to get their actual participation. Several names were suggested as possible speakers. They can also be brought in through Skype. Teleconferencing test: M. Brown will conduct in the next week or two. The audio component works, but desktop and video features are yet to be tested. ReadyTalk software will be used. Suggestion for agenda from M. Yonezawa: Call for members to fill systemwide representative positions, e.g. SOPAG. **ACTION:** M. Brown will contact the possible speakers. **ACTION:** M. Brown will send A. Marines a draft of the Assembly timeline.

G. Statewide Committees/Advisory Groups

1. Research & Professional Development (K. Botello):

Looking at proposals. Some have been withdrawn because proposed presentations were not accepted or because of illness. Expecting to make 2nd call. A. Marines asked about the presentation awards timeline. **Action:** M. Brown will send a graphic of the timeline to the Executive Board.

2. CPG: None

3. Diversity: None.

4. SOPAG (B. Heyer-Gray): Detailed discussion of three day meeting which included a Feb. 15 facilitated planning retreat (ULs, CDL, SOPAG), a Feb. 16 meeting of the ULs and SOPAG, and a Feb. 17 facilitated SOPAG planning retreat. Topics included: CDL review (posted but not distributed); communication between CDL and SLASIAC; NGTS implementation and information; advisory group structure; collaborative financial structure; UC3 webinars with Stephen Abrams; HathiTrust: Online Instruction Pilot Project.

5. Nominations (M. Yonezawa): He sent L. Castillo-Speed 3 nomination packets: 2 for Secretary and one for Vice-President/President-Elect. Deadline for nominations is March 16. Please send him names of possible candidates.

6. SLASIAC Report: Submitted online by Cynthia Johnson. See below.

H. New Business

Question from S. Davidson: can the experience of the LAUC Southern Regional Meeting held at UCI on May 27, 2010 help us with the discussions at our Assembly? M. Brown will share with the Board how directed conversations were arranged at that meeting. S. Davidson also asked in what format will the feedback be shared and what is the end goal. M. Brown will send out further notes on what we will be looking for. L. Ratliff hopes that the Assembly will result in specific items to recommend to the ULs.

I. Round Robin

UCB: Re-envisioning Library Services reports due to UL on April 16; UL/Admin will circulate proposed scenarios for campus comment; LAUC-B will comment. LAUC-B Committee on Professional Development planning a panel discussion on the skills and attributes that panelists would like to see in UC librarians' "tool-kit" going forward; panelists: Tom Leonard (UCB UL), Denise Stephens (UCSB UL), Clifford Lynch (UCB iSchool), Laine Farley (CDL); program may be open to LAUC members from other campuses. Planning begun for 2013 LAUC-B conference; general theme on demonstrating the value of academic libraries, with component on assessment.

UCD: Interviewing for Department Head for Health and Life Sciences. UL candidates were seen last week and this week.

UCI (M. Brown): Cataloger/Metadata Librarian search: in progress. Business Librarian search: in progress. Health Sciences Librarian search: interviewing. March 1 is Day of Action. M. Brown spoke at Occupy rally.

UCLA: Appointed the Head of the Center for Primary Research and Training (Special Collections): Jillian Cuellar. Appointed the Librarian for Advanced Research and Engagement (CRIS*): Allison Benedetti. Recruitment for Teaching and Learning Services Coordinator (College Library). Recruitment for Korean Studies Librarian (East Asian Library). Interviewing for Reader Services Librarian (Clark Library). Interviewing for Latin American and Iberian Studies Librarian (CRIS*).

*Collections, Research & Instructional Services dept. in the UCLA Library

UCM: Digital Curation Librarian search: interviews completed; decision by March 31.

UCR (M. Urrizola and M. Yonezawa): UL appointing committee to review Personnel Administrative Memorandum. UL Ruth Jackson officially retiring. Heidi Hutchison retiring in June.

UCSD: Govt. Docs Librarian replaced through internal recruitment. LAUC's LTAG Representative Dan Suchy is leaving at end of March.

UCSC: AUL position for Collections and Library Information Systems is still open. Position for Head of Technical Services is about to launch. Two libraries closed today because of Occupy action. Article in *Library Journal* mentions renovations at UCSC and UCLA. <http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2012/02/academic-libraries/u-c-library-renovations-double-visitors/#>

Adjourned at 3:59 p.m. *Next conference call date/time: April 5, 2012, 2-4 PM*

To: LAUC Executive Board

From: Cynthia Johnson, LAUC Representative to SLASAC

February 28, 2012

In re: SLASAC conference call on Monday, February 6, 2012

The minutes from the conference call, as well as some of the accompanying documentation, are available at: <http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/planning/slasiac/slasiacmeetings.html>

The following are my notes, which should be read in conjunction with the official minutes from the conference call, since the minutes will provide a fuller picture of the conference call.

UC Open Access Policy

Presented by Laurie Monahan, the UCSB representative to UCOLASC. Rich Schneider (UCSF) also played large role in the discussion. The new Open Access policy is originating with UCOLASC, which is a faculty committee. The plan is to let individual campuses adopt an Open Access strategy, but coordinate it so that all campuses have the same policy. UCOLASC will coordinate. This model separates Policy from Implementation. Two parts to the model policy:

1. Transferring non-exclusive license (not copyright) to the UC
 - a. Discussion points:
 - i. who is this entity called "UC"? The Regents? CDL on behalf of the Regents?
 - ii. Discussion still needed about the following: If a faculty member transfers copyright (to a publisher, for example), this will "trump" the pre-existing transfer of the license. However, the material should still be able to kept in a repository, just not accessible (until embargo ends or it enters public domain for other reasons). See #2 below about depositing in a repository.
2. Deposit of material in a repository like eScholarship, PubMedCentral, etc.
 - a. Capability of having a dark archive in a repository exists

- b. Laine also noted that if materials are deposited in repositories besides eScholarship, CDL could harvest those repositories and have information/material in eScholarship for UC faculty.
- 3. Other discussion points about the Open Access policy:
 - a. Discussion about how to make the deposit mandatory? Discussion centered on making the inclusion of an accession number or identifier mandatory in review & advancement materials. Discussions with CAP have been positive. But it was noted that some campuses still have paper systems for reviews/advancements. Not as easy to add this as a requirement as for campuses that are using electronic systems.
 - b. SLASIAC agreed to take leadership role in working out the implementation strategy.

RFIs & Legislation update

See the official minutes

Nature Publishing Group

Rich Schneider (UCSF) led the discussion. The People the UCs are working with are open to change, but there is inertia at NPG. The UCs are trying to get NPG to commit to a pilot with a different licensing structure. UCs would like to see that the 12% of scholarship published by UCs is taken into account in licensing.

For the past year we have not had an in cost of Nature. NPG is currently not willing to commit to anything, but they are in the process of developing their own models.

UCs can't wait and will be releasing an Update about Nature negotiations, located on the Office of Scholarly Communications site (see <http://osc.universityofcalifornia.edu/npg/>)

Currently we've been at a 0% increase, but we are also not adding new titles.

HathiTrust Update

See the official minutes

CDL Budget

There is much more detail in the official minutes. In brief: CDL, along with the other offices at UCOP, were asked to develop scenarios to show how CDL might manage a 25% cut to budget.

While CDL developed 5 scenarios, they cut two of them, leaving 3 scenarios that add up to add up to \$3.5 million (approximately 25%)

1. Move some staff to one time funding
2. Offload programs that CDL does not *need* to host, if other community members can take
3. on the service
4. Cut some licensed collections, including "one-time" budget funds

These will be provided to Provost Pitts

The budget exercise also included 25% increase scenarios, but it's not clear the likelihood of a budget increase. Greenstein said it was his understanding that cuts would not be made across the board, but would be concentrated in units where it is believed cuts can be taken.