

LAUC Executive Board Meeting
Friday May 1, 2009
2:00-3:30

Present:

Sam Dunlap (President), Lucia Diamond (Vice-President/President-Elect), Bob Heyer-Gray (Past President), Orchid Mazurkiewicz (Secretary), Lisa Spagnolo (UCD*), Josephine Tan (UCSF*), Corliss Lee (UCB*), Keri Botello (UCLA*), Sarah Troy (UCSC), Mitchell Brown (UCI*), Jim Dooley (Merced*), Shirley Bigna (UCR*), Jeff Williams (UCSD*), Frank Gravier (UCSC*), Cathy Palmer (UCI), Mary Linn Bergstrom (SOPAG representative)

*2008/09 Division Chairs

1. Meeting called to order at 2:03 p.m.

2. Announcements

Sam reported on a recent University Committee on Library and Scholarly Communication (UCOLASC) meeting, which he attends in an advisory capacity as LAUC Chair. They will be developing recommendations for implementing Open Access goals and initiatives. He also reported that UCB has been discussing what the library will be in the 21st century. The UCLA faculty are concerned about the Google Scholar settlement. Little consultation could be done due to the associated non-disclosure agreement. Meeting minutes are available at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/senate/committees/ucolasc/>.

3. Minutes – Orchid Mazurkiewicz

LAUC Executive Board Meeting minutes, April 3, 2009, were approved with the following corrections:

Sentence in item 7 to read: “Sam noted that UCSD allows you to carry over unused travel funds from the previous year for use in the next fiscal year, in certain situations.”

The duplicate sentence under budget issues in item 7 was removed.

4. Appointments – Sam Dunlap

Sam noted that we will need new representatives for the Library Technology Advisory Group (LTAG) and the Systemwide Planning and Operations Advisory Group (SOPAG). He'll send out a call for applications next week.

5. Spring Assembly – Sam Dunlap

Phoebe Ayers (LAUC Webmaster) won't be attending the Assembly but she will be setting up the blog for others in attendance. Sam will put out a call for people to blog the event. Mitchell will also add material as he can throughout the day.

Mitchell and Jeff reported on a template they prepared for information gathering on budget cuts for the Assembly. Discussion ensued and it was agreed that there would not be enough time in the agenda to really talk about the cuts or share much information. Instead, Sam will be looking at ways to effectively collect and distribute this information. We might also consider a more detailed discussion for the Fall Assembly when there will be more information available about the cuts.

Shirley will have a car and a van available for transporting attendees to and from the airport.

Janet Lockwood, University of California Office of the President (UCOP), will be joining us via Skype. It's not clear what more information she might be able to provide on the budget cuts. We can ask her about the President's recent proposal on salary cuts and furloughs in times of financial emergency.

The breakout sessions during the Assembly program were discussed. Sam and Jim will gather ideas for breakout session topics.

5. Fall Assembly – Sam Dunlap

Sam hopes to announce the date for the Fall Assembly (UCB) during this coming Assembly. Late November appears to be the most likely time for the meeting.

6. Transition meeting dates

Sam will send out a list of possible dates for the Executive Board transition meeting this summer. Local chairs are asked to confer with the in-coming members on preferred dates, and get back to Sam.

7. Other business

Sam noted that due to the new zero-based budgeting, we will need to come up with a proposed budget for 2009/10 and an anticipated budget for 2010/11. This is due in to UCOP in November. He will be in touch with committee reps to see how many in-person meetings they anticipate.

Lisa reported that LAUC-D is putting together a group to look at their local interpretation documents for peer review in light of changes in the partially negotiated Memorandum of Understanding. In particular, they are wrestling with Article 4 and the two un-numbered articles. She wondered if other divisions are looking at possible implications for their local documents.

8. Meeting adjourned 3:20 p.m.