

August 30, 2022

TO: Rachel Green, LAUC President
FROM: Mary-Michelle Moore, Chair, LAUC Research and Professional Development Committee
RE: 2021-2022 Final Report for the LAUC Research and Professional Development Committee

For 2021-2022, the Committee is charged with fulfilling its standing charge under Article VIII, Section 1(f)(2):

1. Solicit and review research proposals submitted to the Statewide Research Grants for Librarians Program by LAUC members and recommend funding of proposals to the Office of the President.
2. Develop, monitor, and revise, as needed, procedures for carrying out the review of research proposals.
3. Develop guidelines for application to the Statewide Research Grants for Librarians Program and recommend revisions as needed.
4. Advise the President and the Executive Board on issues related to research and professional development for librarians and address other subjects at the request of the President.

Specific Charges for 2021-2022

1. Consider updating the website's Grant Recipients' Table with abstracts from past grant applications.
2. Host at least one statewide R&PD event for all of the campuses, on a topic such as the research process or grant process. This event may take place in conjunction with the Spring Assembly.
3. Revise and update the R&PD webpages and grant forms, as needed.
4. Coordinate with the Committee on Professional Governance to research and report on LAUC members' experiences with PI status at the different campuses. Work will include a survey of all LAUC members and a written report/recommendation based on the results.

Committee on Research & Professional Development Roster, 2021-2022

Chair

Mary-Michelle Moore, UCSB

Representatives

Berkeley	Jessie Sherwood (1st year, 2021-2023)	jcsherwood@law.berkeley.edu
Davis	Ruth Gustafson (1st year, 2021-2023)	ragustafson@ucdavis.edu
Irvine	Scott Stone (1 st year, 2021-2022)	sstone@uci.edu
Los Angeles	Dana Peterman (2nd year, 2020-2022)	dpeterma@library.ucla.edu
Merced	Joe Ameen (2nd year, 2020-2022)	sameen@ucmerced.edu
Riverside	Katherine Koziar (2nd year, 2020-2022)	katherine.koziar@ucr.edu
San Diego	Crystal Goldman (1st year, 2021-2023)	clgoldman@ucsd.edu
San Francisco	Kate Tasker (1st year, 2021-2023)	kate.tasker@ucsf.edu
Santa Barbara	kynita stringer-stanbeck (1st year, 2021-2023)	atinyk@ucsb.edu
Santa Cruz	Teresa Mora (1st year, 2021-2023)	tmora1@ucsc.edu

Action items from General Charge

Continuing the trend seen last year, at least in Fall 2021, there were no presentation grant applications. Many conferences have moved online, were canceled, or attendees were unsure if they wished to make travel plans only to have them fall through. The committee did receive and fund three interesting research grant proposals and a mini-grant. There was discussion around the purpose of the mini-grants in general since the application we received was for someone outlining a proposed new direction of research. The proposal was interesting to the committee, and one they were happy to fund this year, but noted that in a more competitive year, there may need to be additional direction on how to evaluate proposals such as these.

The total funding allocations remained unchanged again for the 2021-2022 fiscal year with \$24,300 available for represented librarians and \$8,100 for non-represented librarians. In terms of the number of applications, there was almost parity in the number of applications from both groups. Requests from both represented and non-represented librarians fell within the allocated budget for the year.

During the Fall grant cycle, the committee received 3 research grant applications, 2 mini-grant and no presentation grant applications. The committee approved full funding for the 3

research grants and one of the mini grant applications. Fully funding these applications still left some funding available for a second call. More details on these proposals can be found in the attached summary submitted to UCOP.

Grant Proposal Totals - First Call

	Bargaining Unit Status	Amount Awarded
Sub Total	Member	\$10,106
Sub Total	Non-member	\$3,220
TOTAL		\$13,326

Amount remaining for Spring call for member librarians: **\$10,106**

Amount remaining for non-member librarians: **\$4,880**

During the Spring grant cycle, the committee received 0 research grant applications, 2 mini-grant applications, and 4 presentation grant applications. The committee approved full funding for the 2 mini-grants, and 4 presentation grants. More details on these proposals can be found in the attached summary submitted to UCOP.

Grant Proposal Totals - Second Call

	Bargaining Unit Status	Amount Awarded
Sub Total	Member	\$1,600
Sub Total	Non-member	\$1,800
TOTAL		\$3,400

Discussion of Specific Charges for 2021-2022

In addition to completing two rounds of funding, the committee has been active in additional tasks.

The committee discussed updating the Grant Recipient’s Table with abstracts from past grant applications, but no conclusion was reached.

In the planning leading up to Spring Assembly, a poll of LAUC Membership was taken to see who may want to attend Assembly in person or virtually. One of the hopes was to have some programming at the Assembly, however, the logistics proved to be complex and it was felt that doing a hybrid program would not serve either the group in person nor the virtual group well. The virtual option would allow for more participation, but ultimately the purpose of the Assembly was for the board to assemble in person. Due to scheduling and bandwidth concerns,

the virtual town-hall-style event to discuss the major events of this year around PI Status will take place in late September under the new board.

In the early Winter Quarter, the committee drafted a mixed-methods survey of librarians' knowledge about the ability to receive Principal Investigator (for major grants or IRB) status on their campuses. While these similar roles do have occasionally divergent applications, in addition to creating a baseline knowledge of campus policies, the survey was also meant to determine if there was a chilling effect on librarians interested in doing research but felt they could not, either because of written policy or because the appropriate path to the following policy was unclear. The survey was distributed to the LAUC membership, then the anonymized results were sent to CPG to analyze the data. This survey was a step in determining how PI status is granted across campuses and it has led to as many questions as it answered. The survey and the questions raised will be handed over to a new task force that can hopefully further develop the concerns brought to light by the initial questions.

The committee worked on website updates, which are ongoing.

Attachments: Executive Summary for UCOP (Spring 2021)

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary-Michelle Moore
Chair, LAUC Research & Profession Development Committee
Teaching & Learning Librarian
University of California, Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9010
(805)893-5086
marymichellemoore@ucsb.edu