LAUC Spring Assembly

Thursday,13 May, 2004
UC Riverside
Science Library Room 240

Present: Allan Urbanic (B Chair), Christina Tarr (B), Jane Rosario (B), Lincoln Cushing (B), Terri Malmgren (D Chair), Robert Heyer-Gray (D), Diana King (D), Joan Ariel (I Chair), Bill Landis (I), Heather Tunender (I), Ellen Broidy (LA Chair), Janet Carter (LA), Jan Goldsmith (LA), Orchid Mazurkiewicz (LA), Jim Dooley (M Chair), Emily Lin (M) Kuei Chiu (R Chair), Lynne Reasoner (R), Sam Dunlap (SD Chair), Mary Linn Bergstrom (SD), Jeff Williams (SD), Keir Reavie (SF Chair), Lisa Mix (SF), Cathy Chiu (SB Chair), Eric Forte (SB), Sal Guerena (SB), Greg Careaga (SC Chair), Ken Lyons (SC), Linda Kennedy, President (D), Terry Huwe VP/President-Elect, (B), Barbara Schader, Secretary and Recorder (LA), Esther Grassian, Past President (LA), Tammy Dearie, SOPAG Representative (SD), Ann Jensen, SLASIAC Representative, (B), Paul Weiss (Parliamentarian).

Presiding: LAUC President Linda Kennedy (D)

9:30- 10:00 Registration and refreshments

10:00 Business meeting

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by President Kennedy at 10:05.

2. **UC Riverside Welcome** - David Rios, Interim Associate University Librarian for Services and Special Projects.

    David Rios welcomed the LAUC 2004 Spring Assembly to UCR on behalf of himself and University Librarian, Ruth Jackson. Rios mentioned that UCR is in the fortunate position to be recruiting for 4 positions. Those positions will soon be announced and will be for Rivera Reference, Social Sciences bibliographer in Collection Development, Electronic Resources coordinator in Acquisitions and Serials and Electronic resources cataloger in the Cataloging Department.

    UCR is converting their Collection Development model to a collection development network with subject specialists and funds are divided by disciplines. UCR is the 2nd campus (after UCSB) to become a Learning Resources Center for K-12 and this program will be active June 1st.

As of July 1st UCR will have a new Executive Vice Chancellor, Ellen Bartello, from the University of Texas.

3. Announcements – LAUC-R Local Arrangements Committee & L. Kennedy

Kennedy thanked Stephanie Wittenbach and the UCR Division for ordering new name signs for the divisions. The original signs were done in 1970's.

Kennedy thanked Kuei Chiu and his excellent Local Arrangements Committee and for providing both projection for the business meeting and web cast for the program and Ken Furuta for doing the LAUC Assembly webpage. Kennedy then introduced Geetha Yapa, Chair of the Local Arrangements Committee to introduce the other members of her committee.

*   Hotel – Geeta Yapa
*   Registration - Nancy Kimya , Geeta Yapa
*   Transportation – Peter Bliss, Kuei Chiu, Marie Brunell
*   Food - Lizabeth Langston and Patricia (morning refreshments paid for by the UCR University Librarian, Ruth Jackson).

4. Review of Agenda – no changes to the agenda.
5. **Report of the Secretary** – Barbara Schader
    a.   Roll Call of Divisions and Delegates

    Schader called the role of divisions and delegates and all were present. (http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/assembly/census-spring04.doc)

    b.   Approval of Minutes, Fall Assembly 2003

    Minutes were approved as distributed.

6. **President's Report** – Linda Kennedy

Kennedy introduced the remainder of the Executive Board.

Kennedy's President's Report is available at http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/springreport04.html so the minutes will reflect only the highlights mentioned:

*   For the first time in a number of years, the Executive Board will meet after the Assembly instead of the day before. Since we have been having monthly conference calls, the preparatory work is done and we can focus on follow-up.
*   In response to recommendations from the Information Literacy Task Force report, SOPAG has appointed Sarah Mc Daniel as the LAUC representative to the HOPS Information Literacy Common Interest Group. This is the first time there is a LAUC representative on a Common Interest Group.

- Discussion of LAUC's definition and guidelines for our position papers will be part of the Spring Assembly 2004 agenda.
- LAUC's proposal for a joint UL and Librarian's Advisory Committee to look at the Distinguished Step has been turned down by the ULs so the LAUC Executive Board will start gathering and evaluating data regarding the distinguished step. Once data has been gathered, LAUC can then decide how to continue.

There was discussion on this issue including the suggestion that we have a program on the Distinguished Step and invite the faculty since they too are involved with similar issues.

- Kennedy discussed having committee reports due at Spring Assembly and a program at Fall Assembly.
- Kennedy mentioned that three important LAUC representative positions will be open for 2004 appointments – SOPAG, CDC, HOPS. The nominations process for these three positions will open after Spring Assembly. All are great opportunities. Individual local representatives to various LAUC committees are also needed. Also, a new Web manager will need to be appointed sooner rather than later as Beth Dupuis' term ends in September and it would be helpful if the new web manager could work with her before Beth's term ends.

7. **Reports: LAUC Representatives to System-Wide Groups**
   a. System wide Library and Scholarly Information Advisory Committee (SLASIAC) - Ann Jensen (B) - Full report at http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/representatives/slasiac-spring-2004.doc

Jensen provided some background on SLASIAC and mentioned that her full report was available at the URL above. SLASIAC is advisory to Provost King (soon to be MRC Greenwood) and is composed of high level, mostly budgetary, executives. It is not a working committee but is a vetting committee. ULs prefer that decisions go through SOPAG. SLASIAC receives reports from other committees and puts their stamp on them. Jensen feels her role is explaining the line librarian view. She sends out minutes and does want our comments to take back to the group. SLASIAC has been very gracious in giving her comment time. Jensen will continue to contribute LAUC and librarian issues when they are relevant to the discussion of SLASIAC Agenda topics.

   b. Systemwide Operations Planning and Advisory Group (SOPAG)--Tammy Dearie (SD) Full report at http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/representatives/sopag-spring-2004.doc

   Dearie too provided background information on SOPAG. SOPAG creates many of the documents going to SLASIAC. HOPS, HOTS etc have opportunity to vet some of these documents. SOPAG meets monthly; some meetings are conference calls. SOPAG members work very hard and are very aware of the LAUC perspective and consider themselves to be LAUC

members. SOPAG is usually comprised of one representative from each campus, one CDL representative and one LAUC representative.

Strategic Directions document is now on the SOPAG website and it will also be distributed. Kennedy urged everyone to read the report when it is available and comment. Kennedy noted a lack of mention of Information Literacy.

Kennedy reiterated both Jensen and Dearie are members of the LAUC Executive Board so they could bring issues, concerns to LAUC and take our issues and concerns to their respective committees.

An Acquisitions Common Interest group is being proposed and Dearie has raised the issue of having a LAUC representative to this group. Most common interest groups do not have a LAUC representative nor is it necessary. The Digital Library Preservation Repository report has been released. There was a question about the Shared Print interviews and Dearie stated an appointment should be made soon as 2nd candidate interviewed.

c. Collection Development Committee (CDC) - Nancy Kushigian (D)

Kushigian stated it has been great to be LAUC representative to this committee. It is a very nuts and bolts committee.

Nancy became active with the shared print issues. She also noted that we are at a point where we are moving to very different models – different models of librarianship, different models of collections, of delivering service, and these have enormous implications for LAUC. Kushigian stressed it is important for representatives to be active participants on the committee.

Kushigian thanked everyone for her opportunity to serve on this committee as LAUC rep.

d. Heads of Public Services (HOPS) - Catherine Soehner (SC)
e. Heads of Technical Services (HOTS) - Jain Fletcher (LA)
f. Library Technology Advisory Group (LTAG) —Gabriella Gray
g. Northern Regional Library Facility – David DeLorenzo (B)
h. Privacy Liaison Group – Susana Hinojosa (B)
i. RLF Task Force – Sheryl Davis (R)

The RLF Task Force report is not yet out although they have met several times.

j. Resource Sharing Group – Kerry Scott (SC)
k. Southern Regional Library Facility - Sheryl Davis (R)

SRLF board has not met for nearly a year. Davis assumes due to no issues –

but this should changes as soon as the RLF Task Force report is released. The shared print archive for Elsevier journals is proceeding and procedures are well underway to development.

8. UC Office of the President Report - Myron Okada, Director, University of California, Office of Academic Personnel (20 min.)

Okada reported on the UC Compact; Information is posted on the UCOP web site at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/news/compact/welcome.html. Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger and UC President Robert Dynes on May 11 announced a "compact" outlining their intentions for state funding levels and institutional accountability in the University of California over the next several years. The agreement provides for annual growth in state funding for UC's basic budget and enrollment growth, beginning in the 2005-06 fiscal year, in exchange for UC's commitment to accountability in specified areas. The agreement extends through the 2010-11 fiscal year.

Q: How is UC going to absorb the budget cuts for 2004/2005.
A: Originally the governor's proposal called for a 10% increase for undergraduate fees and 40% for graduate fees. UC's proposal is to increase undergraduate fees to 15% and lessen graduate fees to 30%. Okada indicated this additional 5% increase to undergraduate fees should generate an additional amount of resources. Fee increases should defect about 50% of the deficit and then other cuts will have to be made to offset the other 50% or $180+ million. Encouraging more START opportunities, possibly programmatic cuts etc are options discussed.

Q: Will there be layoffs before VERIP?
A: No VERIP is being offered. There will be opportunities for administrators to incentivize retirements for individuals 60 and older.

Kennedy mentioned there is a FAQ sheet on the University of California website at www.universityofcalifornia.edu which includes information from President Dynes, the Compact etc.

9. Reports: LAUC Committees and Task Forces (20 min.)

a. Ad Hoc Awards Committee - Chuck Wilson (LA)

Awards are opportunities to do something positive for the organization. Two surveys were sent out – one in December and one in April. The first survey dealt with providing funding for LAUC Travel Award for sending a new librarian (in system 3 years or less) to attend Spring Assembly. Funding would be taken from either the President's Budget or from general funding. This is follow up to what Grassian had proposed to encourage new librarians to attend Assembly. Wilson suggested that past president have responsibility for hosting the travel winner at

lunch.

The 2nd survey was to gauge the interest of the membership in a ward to recognize contributions to LAUC. At least 20 % of respondees were against the award and 35% said it was a good idea. The committee decided to recommend an Outstanding Service Award to recognize service to LAUC. The committee also recommended a standing committee on awards to implement these awards. See the committees report at: http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/aha/report-spring-2004.doc

Responses were received from 7 campuses and 47 individuals for the Travel Award and 8 campuses and 51 individuals responded to the Outstanding Service Award. The LAC Executive Board will follow-up on the awards issues.

> b. Committee on Committees, Rules and Jurisdiction – Cynthia Jahns (SC) - no action items. See http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/crj/report-spring-2004.doc for the Spring Assembly report.
> c. Diversity Committee – Sylvia Curtis (SB) and Annette Marines (SC) – no action items. See http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/cd/report-spring-2004.doc for that report.
> d. Library Plans & Policies – Julie Kwan (LA) submitted her committee report in person. The Committee Report, more a white paper on selected library issues, will be issued for the Fall Assembly. See the interim report at http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/lpp/report-february-2004.doc
> e. Nominating Committee – Esther Grassian (LA)

Kennedy thanked Grassian and her committee for an excellent slate. The nominees for Vice Chair, Chair-elect are Keri Botello, UCLA and Jenny Reiswig, UCSD. The nominees for Secretary are Frank Gravier, UCSC and Donald Barclay, UCM.

> f. Position Paper Review Task Force - Deborah Turner (SC)

The report prepared for Spring Assembly is at http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/ppr/report-spring-2004.doc Kennedy received several contextural comments from UCSD, and other divisions also had suggested changes. Kennedy said changes that were not substantive could be received as friendly amendments.

This document was thoroughly discussed and wordsmithed. Some minor reorganizations were included, so it was not feasible to complete action at the Assembly. At the suggestion of the Parliamentarian, a final version will be

submitted to the Assembly for an e-mail ballot.

Kennedy thanked Turner and her committee for all of their hard work.

ACTION ITEM: Kennedy will send to Fall Assembly chairs and delegates the newly worded version of the Position Paper. Voting will be by email.

g. Professional Governance Committee – Sherry DeDecker (SB) - the latest draft of the report is at http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/pg/report-spring-2004.doc This was an informational report without recommendations.

h. Research and Professional Development Committee – Terence Huwe (B) - http://www.ucop.edu/lauc/committees/rpd/report-spring-2004.doc The committee funded five of the six submitted research projects:

JAEYONG CHANG
"The Korean Diaspora: An Annotated Bibliography and Its Database"
Campus: Berkeley **$4,346.00**

LINCOLN CUSHING
"Database of American Labor Graphics"
Campus: Berkeley **$4,682.00**

SHEILA O'HARE
"Legal Executions in California, 1851-2003"
Campus: Santa Cruz **$7,500.00**

DAVID OWEN
"Consumer/Patient Information Resources for Phytotherapeutics"
Campus: San Francisco $7,077.00

ELIZABETH STEPHENSON and CHERYL BARTEL
"Using Personal Digital Assistant Technology for Statistical Research in Health Sciences"
  Campus: Los Angeles $6,399

Huwe stated that the committee rejected the suggestion that RPD should solicit research by topic. Committee members felt it was better to leave the Principle Investigators in charge of what they wanted to study.

Huwe also mentioned that that RPD Committee members Chuck Wilson, UCLA and Patrick Dawson, UCSC will be reviewing the grant guidelines and make them more concise and simple to follow. Anneliese Taylor, UCSF and Penny Coppernoll Black, UCSD will be doing a web review and reorganize the web pages dealing with Research and Professional

Development.

   i. Scholarly Communication Web Editorial Board (no charge in 2003/2004)

   10. Old Business (Addressed in President's report)

   11. New Business

      a. Resolutions - none

      b. Time and Place of the Next Assembly to be determined at LAUC
      Executive Board Meeting following day.

   12. Adjournment at 12:40

Afternoon Program:

One University, One Library: A Budgetary Perspective From the Outside In

Description:
Librarians are acutely aware of the funding challenges that face the University at large,
but are less aware of the University's many political and lobbying activities in support of
campus and library funding. At the highest level, these involve interaction between the
Regents, the Office of the President, and the State of California. Campus leaders and the
faculty also play important roles in the preservation of library funding. Within the sphere
of the profession, the information we receive about how budgets are made--and
protected--often follows the completion of a the budget, even thought many people are
active throughout the entire fiscal and academic calendars.

This program brings together three distinguished speakers who will discuss the process of
developing and maintaining library funding, within the larger contexts of campus affairs,
faculty relations, and statewide long-range planning. At each of these levels, coalitions of
academics and administrators collaborate and contribute to the University's overall case
for funding from the state of State of California. Presenters include a member of a
campus Academic Senate Committee on Library, an Associate University Librarian, and
the Director of Long Range Planning at the Office of the President. The program
promises to be lively and entertaining, and will give members of LAUC inside views of
budgetary and program development. A question and answer period will follow the
presentation.

 Speakers:

- **Trudis Heinecke** Director, Long Range Planning, University of California,
  Office of the President Budget Office
- **George Bynon**, Associate University Librarian for Administrative Services,
  University of California, Davis

- **Elaine Tennant**, Professor of German, and Co-Chair, UC Berkeley Division of the Academic Senate, Committee on Library

Program was web cast and is available for viewing at:
http://laucr.ucr.edu/LAUCSpring04/Webcast.html